

# Flat Earth for Dummies 101

Definition of Dummy: Indoctrinated in Globe from Birth



## FLAT EARTH FOR DUMMIES 101

Definition of Dummy: Indoctrinated in Globe from Birth

Sims 4™ Screenshot

**ELAINE CHADWICK CLANTON**

Elaine Chadwick Clanton

Author of Murder and Marcella

# Flat Earth for Dummies 101

Definition of Dummy: Indoctrinated in Globe from Birth

Elaine Chadwick Clanton

Author of Murder and Marcella

Copyright © 2018 Elaine Chadwick Clanton

All rights reserved.

ISBN: 9781720294757

## DEDICATION

To my husband, Jimmy, who always supports me in all my projects.



# Contents

Introduction	1
Chapter 1 Curvature	10
Chapter 2 Science	23
Chapter 3 Antarctica	31
Chapter 4 Electromagnetism	46
Chapter 5 Circumnavigation	61
Chapter 6 Under the Dome	82
Chapter 7 Space Travel	103
Chapter 8 The Sun	134
Chapter 9 The Moon	154
Chapter 10 Gravity	166
Chapter 11 Tides	182
Chapter 12 Astronomy	192
Chapter 13 Meteors	214
Chapter 14 Extraterrestrials	236
Chapter 15 Religion	250
Chapter 16 Freemason	266
Chapter 17 The ISS	278
Chapter 18 Why the Heliocentric Subterfuge?	301
Appendix A Recommended You Tube Video Channels	322
Appendix B Recommended Reading List	326

Appendix C Metric Conversion  
329



## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Thanks to all the Flat Earthers who have come before me, especially Mark Sargent, whose interview on Coast to Coast AM led me to research Flat Earth, and Patricia Steere, whose kind words encouraged me.

## INTRODUCTION BECOMING A FLAT EARTHER

Just exactly how do you become an advocate of the flat earth theory? The answer is:

### **RESEARCH FLAT EARTH**

My personal experience began when I listened to an episode of Coast to Coast AM with George Noory. Mark Sargent, author, You Tube video personality, and flat earth advocate since 2014, was interviewed, and his flat earth theory fascinated me. Subsequently, I ordered his book and several others, subscribed to You Tube Red, and watched countless videos on the subject. In the process, I drove my husband crazy with the TV on You Tube until he realized I might be onto something. Now he is onboard with this book project. My interest in this subject is purely secular, although I recognize that many flat earthers base their beliefs on the Bible.

Most certainly you are living on either a spherical earth or a flat earth. Both can be defined as “round.” As children of both the 20<sup>th</sup> and 21<sup>st</sup> centuries, we have been taught in our society and educational institutions that we live on a “round,” meaning spherical planet. So indoctrinated are we that the very mention of the possibility of a flat earth invites sneers and giggling. Such a reaction is entirely understandable. We see the spherical earth all around us: in classrooms,

in sci-fi such as Star Trek, Star Wars, and comics, such as Superman.

At the moment I am writing this, I'm sitting at a desk in a suite on the Celebrity Infinity cruise ship. On a corner table to my right is a globe. Even on vacation I can't get away from the globe. (See Below)



We don't question the globe concept because we regard it as a given. But sometimes "givens" **are** questioned. Today's paradigm may not be the paradigm of tomorrow. You may have heard the ironic quote attributed to Charles H. Duell, Commissioner of the US Patent Office in 1899: **Everything that can be invented has been invented.**

In the everchanging environment of today's world, we certainly snicker at that concept.

The 19<sup>th</sup> century German philosopher Arthur Schopenhauer characterized truth as passing through three stages:

- **First, it is ridiculed.**
- **Second, it is violently opposed.**
- **Third, it is accepted as self-evident.**

In other words, the paradigm of the moment is ultimately relegated to an antiquated role in which it is viewed as the product of lesser men. Paradigm in this instance is defined as the "world view underlying the theories and

methodology of a particular scientific subject.” Suffice it to say it takes a lot ofchutzpah to challenge the existing and well-ingrained scientific paradigm that our world is a spinning ball.

The paradigm this treatise is challenging is the compound scientific concept that:

- **The earth is a rotating sphere revolving around the sun.**
- **Antarctica is a continent with a magnetic south pole.**
- **It is possible to leave the earth’s atmosphere and travel through the vacuum of space to the moon and beyond.**

My thesis is the compound scientific concept that:

- **The earth is a flat disc-shaped, non-moving plane above which the sun and moon revolve.**
- **Antarctica encircles the entire disc with a massive ice shelf that borders the oceans.**
- **It is not possible to penetrate the dome which covers the earth and its atmosphere, which precludes all space travel.**

Many have come before me and contributed to this study, and throughout this work I will acknowledge their extensive research. My thanks to all openminded and courageous individuals who dare to challenge the norm.

Charles Holland Duell, Wikipedia

BrainyQuote.com

startrek.com



Spock, the  
earth is a  
sphere



Captain, that's  
completely illogical.

## CHAPTER 1

### CURVATURE AND THE FLAT EARTH

The first point that is almost invariably brought up as proof that the earth is a sphere is the disappearance of objects, usually boats, over the horizon. From the ordinary perspective of eyes alone, we invariably see the bottom of the boat disappear first, and then the rest of it by degrees until the topmost mast is out of the range of human eyes.

Voila! The curvature of the earth has been proven! But, wait! Dig out a pair of binoculars. Oh, my, I can still see the boat, the reason being that my binoculars allow me to pick out objects at a greater distance.

Yet, eventually, the boat is out of view. Therefore, the earth is a sphere. However, I now set up my trusty telescope. There's the boat again! Still, eventually the boat disappears once more. Why, because of the limitation of range of the telescope and the density of the air due to dust, water vapor, pollutants, you name it.

If the earth has curvature, when should the boat disappear from all view: eyes, binocular, telescope, whatever? There is a formula for calculating the curvature of the earth for any given distance, which is based on the Pythagorean theorem. You can find this formula on any number of websites. Many flat earth advocates are better at math than I am. For our purposes here, suffice it to say that the general consensus is: **The measurement of the curvature of the earth is 7.98 inches per mile squared based on the earth's diameter of 25,000 miles.** (See Figure 1)

Curvature					
Zetetic Astronomy					
Distance	Miles	1	2	3	4
Curvature	Inches	8.00	32.00	72.00	128.00
	Feet	0.6667	2.6667	6.0000	10.6667
	Miles	0.00013	0.00051	0.00114	0.00202
Pythagorean Theorem					
Distance	Miles	1	2	3	4
Curvature	Inches	7.99	31.98	71.95	127.90
	Feet	0.6662	2.6646	5.9955	10.6586
	Miles	0.00013	0.00050	0.00114	0.00202
Trigonometry Formula					
Distance	Miles	1	2	3	4
Curvature	Inches	7.99	31.98	71.95	127.90
	Feet	0.666	2.66	6.00	10.66
	Miles	0.00013	0.00050	0.00114	0.00202

Figure 1

Let's say you want to test this out for yourself. Here is an example:

On a clear day a small sailboat leaves the dock headed toward the horizon. The top of the mast is 15 feet above sea level. How far must the boat travel for the curvature of the earth to completely obscure the craft? The sailboat stops after 5 miles and turns around. Should you still be able to see the boat?

- **First, to calculate the curvature you are going to square the mileage, which, in this case is 5 times 5, or 25.**
- **Multiply 25 by 8 inches, which gives you 200 inches.**
- **To convert to feet, you would obviously divide 200 by 12, or 16.66 feet.**
- **Now, subtract the height of the sailboat, which is 15 feet. That gives you 1.66 feet.**
- **Your sailboat, including the mast, is approximately one and a half feet below the horizon. If the earth is a sphere, you should not be able to see it.**

But, on a clear day with a good pair of binoculars, camera zoom lens, or telescope, you may bring the sailboat back into view. Why didn't the earth's curvature obscure the sailboat you ask?

**Because there is no curvature. The earth is flat.**

Let's take the classic case of the Statue of Liberty whose iconic torch rises to 326 feet above sea level. Weather permitting, Lady Liberty can be seen some 60 miles away, when, in point of fact, she should be obscured by over 2,000 feet of curvature.

Try your own experiment. Inevitably, you will discover that the curvature of the earth is not present and cannot be proved by observation. The earth is flat and, correspondingly, not moving. It does not revolve around the sun. (See Figure 2)



Figure 2

Now if the earth is not a sphere, travel distances between cities, in particular the southern hemisphere, will be calculated differently. Mark Sargent in his book, "Flat Earth Clues, The Sky's the Limit," addresses the issue of air travel between cities in South America and Australia/New Zealand. Mark's research revealed convoluted flight paths racking up thousands of miles and many more hours of flight time than direct routes.

Even Albert Einstein stated: "I have come to believe that the motion of the earth cannot be detected by any optical experiment." Neither can the curvature because motion and curvature go hand in hand. I invite you to read the article by Robert Sungenis, August 9, 2016, [Albert-Einstein-The-Earth-Mover.pdf](#); How Einstein Made the Earth Move (When All the Experiments Showed It Wasn't Moving). Although Sungenis is a prolific writer from a religious standpoint, this article is purely secular.

The article centers around the experiments of Albert A. Michelson, who was an

American physicist and Nobel Prize winner. In his 1881 and 1887 experiments, Michelson discovered that the earth was not moving around the sun. The foundation of the heliocentric theory is that the earth revolves around the sun. At the same time, the earth rotates on its axis. If the earth is a globe, it by default has to be rotating. Otherwise, one face would always be sunlit and the other face dark.

Ironically, one of Michelson's children was named Truman. If you have not seen the 1998 movie, "The Truman Show," you can find it on Amazon Prime. Truman's entire life is stylized inside a massive domed Hollywood set within which he is unknowingly the star player. His life is controlled by the show's producer, Christof (Christ of The Truman Show?). His best friend and even his wife are actors.

Truman's best friend Marlon describing The Truman Show: "Nothing's fake. It's merely controlled." Truman shows Marlon where Fiji is by demonstrating on a golf ball. He is living on a flat world covered by a dome, yet he has been indoctrinated with the globe world concept.

We are all Truman except our flat world is a lot bigger and we cannot leave it by conventional means. The deception, however, is even more elaborate and diabolical. Below is an image of Truman leaving his world. (See Figure 3)



Figure 3

Christof, literally, the man in the artificial moon, is asked why Truman had never come close to discovering the true nature of his world prior to now. Christof's answer: "We accept the reality of the world with which we are presented." And, that, friends, is why we believe that the earth is a spinning ball perpetually

encircling the sun. Because that is the reality with which we are presented.

See Aplanettruth.info

Mark Sargent, Flat Earth Clues, The Sky's the Limit, Clue 7, The Long Haul

<https://aplanetruth.info/2016/12/03/commercial-air-flights-on-a-flat-earth-work-perfectly/comment-page-1/>

See Robert Sungenis, Wikipedia

See Albert A, Michelson, Wikipedia

See The Truman Show, Amazon Prime

[Dorje Daka](#), Earth Has No Curvature, You Tube

<http://flatvsround.blogspot.com/2015/10/earth-curvature-calculator.html>

<http://beforeitsnews.com/v3/strange/2016/2464868.html>

<http://twotankedproductions.com/>





## CHAPTER 2

### SCIENCE AND PROOF OF THE FLAT EARTH

In the previous chapter, you learned the curvature of the earth cannot be proven because there is no curvature. You also gained further insight into just exactly why you have believed in the globe model of the earth: **You have been indoctrinated!**

So, if the earth is flat, shouldn't scientists be able to figure that out? We're not living in the age of Columbus. We have instrumentation, computers, sophisticated cameras and telescopes, 21<sup>st</sup> century technology, and dare I say it, a **global** community.

Let's take a look at a research project on the shape of the earth by scientists and engineers orchestrated by Zigurat Global Institute of Technology, Barcelona, Spain. You probably have not heard of Zigurat so I'll include here a brief profile: **Zigurat's focus is in "augmenting the potential of corporate and other professionals in the transition to the ongoing digital paradigm shift". By offering an "innovative e-learning methodology based on case studies," Zigurat provides the skills to advance in structural engineering, business information modeling, and advanced management systems. Zigurat also has a School of Innovation and Technology.**

Additionally, involved with the Zigurat project were researchers and scientists from the Brazilian Dakila Pesquisas (comprised of researchers and scientists primarily in the exact and natural sciences). Also participating were



scientists from other countries, including the United States, Russia, Chile, Holland and, of course, Spain.

After seven years of research, 15,000 hours, and six continents, with a range of diverse experimentation, the results consistently concluded that the earth is not spherical. On March 29, 2018 a feature-length video entitled “Convex Earth: The Documentary” was published at [convexearth.org](http://convexearth.org) in Portuguese, English, and Spanish. I recommend that you visit this website and watch the documentary.

Dakila published the following speculative visual for the shape of the earth, concluding that Earth is convex over land and flat over water. (See Figure 4) Bear in mind that defining the flat earth model is an ongoing project, and there are various constructs as to its exact shape and function.




Figure 4

All the experiments involved the participation of astronomers, cartographers, geologists, topographers and civil engineers, among other professional researchers. All participants utilized cutting edge equipment.

Besides the conclusion that the earth is not a sphere, the study revealed the discovery of a previously unknown continent beyond the Antarctic wall of ice, and new findings regarding the sun, moon, and the constellations. The ultimate result will be a new map of the world in which we live.

In June 2018 Convex Earth posted a video on You Tube entitled “Convex Earth – Technical Data” for those wishing to reproduce the results of their experiments. The intricate detail with exact coordinates and measurements is mind blowing. They offer verifiable proof that the shape of the earth is as

presented in Figure 4.

Why, you may logically ask, don't more scientists subscribe to the Flat Earth model?

**Because their academic and professional standing depends on adhering to the globe and all its ramifications.**

I recommend the 2014 film, "The Principle" which gives additional insight into the thinking of contemporary scientists. You will be surprised to learn that background radiation, supposedly arising from the "Big Bang" appears to center around the earth, providing evidence that the earth is the center of the universe.

<https://www.e-zigurat.com/>

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=IN7X7JSf5c4>

Convex Earth, You Tube

The Principle, Amazon Prime

<http://www.educatinghumanity.com/2015/10/neil-degrasse-tyson-on-finding-aliens.html>

I know the earth  
is flat, but I like  
my job!





### CHAPTER 3 ANTARCTICA TRUTH VS FICTION

In the previous chapter, you learned that openminded and determined scientific research has revealed that we do not live on a spinning sphere, but rather a flat earth far different than what for decades and even centuries we have been led to believe.

We, the sentient inhabitants of Earth, have suffered an insidious propaganda designed to make us feel insignificant and even worthless. We have been reduced to antlike creatures on a speck of rock in a planetary system among trillions, in the arm of a spiral galaxy like many others. Moreover, we are all destined to die in cold, silent aloneness as we inevitably grow apart from our doppelgangers in the mindless vacuum of space.

Now, let's look at the most commonly proposed and accepted flat earth map. (Figure 5) You will immediately notice that in this flat earth model, the earth is round and bordered by a perimeter of ice. The Arctic with the North Pole lies at the center of the flat earth and is surrounded by the northernmost continents.

But, where is Antarctica? The closest continental land mass to Antarctica is the southern tip of South America. Notice that here you see ice jutting out in that section of the ice perimeter. That is because this is the most familiar and explored coastal area of Antarctica. Yet, on the flat earth map, Antarctica is not a continent, but rather the ice wall that surrounds Earth's oceans.




Figure 5

This ice wall is hundreds of feet high and may serve to “hold in” the water. Or it might be the perimeter fence that keeps Earth’s inhabitants from the edge of the dome that holds in our atmosphere and protects us, perhaps, from the “waters” above. And, it may have the secondary role of preventing us from reaching additional continents that may lie beyond, thus expanding and altering the complete shape of the earth.

So, why don’t we organize a group of hardy explorers and adventurers to travel to Antarctica properly equipped to weather the frigid conditions and rugged glacial terrain? Certainly, we should be able to get sponsors in the scientific and engineering community, as well as industries interested in the natural resources described by Admiral Byrd, the renown Antarctic explorer of the mid-twentieth century.

But, wait! To obtain the proper documents for such an expedition, the red tape is tighter than the circuitous and comedic bureaucracy of the Vogons in “The Hitchhiker’s Guide to the Galaxy.” (See Figure 6) Vogon



Figure 6

To accomplish any task, no matter how trivial, vital or urgent, Vogons, the galactic government's bureaucrats, required orders signed in triplicate, sent in, sent back, queried, lost, found, subjected to public inquiry, lost again, buried in soft peat for three months, and recycled as firelighters. Oh, if only it were that simple navigating the bureaucratic setup for Antarctica!

Now, of course, if you want to take a cruise ship or some other vacation-oriented visit to Antarctica, you are free to do so because travel for such purpose is confined for the most part to coastal areas and islands. But, for your academic or industrial excursion, you will need to adhere to the terms of The Antarctic Treaty System (ATS), blossoming out from the original Antarctic Treaty signed by the United States in December 1959 and entering into force in 1961.

Currently the ATS has been ratified by 53 countries with its headquarters in Buenos Aires, Argentina since 2004. The ATS defines Antarctica as all of the land and ice shelves south of 60 degrees south latitude. The treaty sets aside Antarctica as a scientific preserve, ostensibly establishes freedom of scientific investigation, and bans military activity.

Each signatory country has its own laws with respect to their "section" of Antarctica and how they will enforce the ATS. For our purposes, we will address the laws of the United States. For criminal offenses by and against U.S. nationals, which may apply to areas not under the jurisdiction of other countries, the United States now stations special deputy U.S. Marshalls in Antarctica to provide a law enforcement presence.

One U.S. law that directly applies to Antarctica is the Antarctic Conservation Act. This law provides civil and criminal penalties for various activities related to the protection of flora and fauna and the disposal of pollutants, including ***entry into specially protected or scientific areas***.

Interestingly, the Departments of the Treasury, Commerce, Transportation, and the Interior share enforcement responsibilities. It's encouraging to know how cooperative we are in the federal government.

However, it's equally important to note that enforcement does not apply to such activities, ***if authorized by regulation or statute***. Um...I can't help but wonder what that means. Pollution or harm to flora and fauna is allowed under certain conditions? Or perhaps this stipulation is included to apply only to ***entry into specially protected or scientific areas***.

In this regard, the Antarctic Conservation Act requires expeditions from the U.S. to notify in advance the Office of Oceans and Polar Affairs of the State Department, which in turn reports such plans to other nations (that's all 53 of them) as required by the ATS. Also involved in the dissemination of this information is the Office of Polar Programs of the National Science Foundation. Quite naturally, an environmental impact assessment is a standard consideration, and must adhere to the Protocol of Environmental Protection of the ATS. Anyone thinking of the Vogons?

As I am writing this chapter, I happened to come across a blurb in the April 2018 "Scientific American" magazine included in their "Quick Hits" topic on Antarctica. We are informed that a "global" campaign (um...wonder how "global") has proposed creating the world's largest wildlife preserve around Antarctica in order to curb krill fishing. Isn't Antarctica already the world's largest wildlife preserve? But, wait! Now the area between the tip of South America and Antarctica, and other ocean areas around Antarctica as well, would be closed to fisherman. Such a proposal further segregates Antarctica from the rest of the world.

Ostensibly, the purpose of extending the sanctuary reach of the Antarctic preserve is to protect krill, a food source for larger animals. If the proposal is valid, why is Antarctica singled out? Well, it's because The Antarctic Treaty "represents an example of the ***common heritage of mankind*** principle."



The largess of this mandate is commendable and if the current state of the world was not ***the current state of the world***, I might be inclined to swallow it. Now you might consider my position cynical. However, common sense tells us that there is something else underlying this principle as it applies to Antarctica.

Here between 90 degrees west and 150 degrees west is the only major land on Earth not claimed by any country. Antarctica has no permanent population, no citizenship or government. No one is traveling abroad with an Antarctica passport. The ATS with its 53 signatory countries controls Antarctica. If only the United Nations could profit from that level of cooperation, might we not have, dare I say it, ***world peace?***

Various theories have circulated regarding the mystery of Antarctica, and indeed, there is a mystery. Integral to this treatise is the answer that regardless of whatever else may be true, the key to the Antarctic Treaty System is the shape of the earth. The ATS exists to promote the heliocentric propaganda and its continued indoctrination of the world's population.

Would our society dissolve in a puddle like the Wicked Witch of the West if the spinning ball is revealed to be a flat, unmoving expanse encapsulated by a dome? Didn't the 1960 Brookings Report present a similar scenario for the revelation that extraterrestrials exist?

One last thought: it is possible to circumnavigate Antarctica. (See Figure 7)




Figure 7

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LN7X7JSf5c4>


<http://rickpotvinflatearth.blogspot.com/2015/06/how-to-explain-missing-circle-in-center.html>

<https://www.state.gov/t/avc/trty/193967.htm>

Scientific American, April 2018

<http://www.rage3d.com/board/showthread.php?t=33803772>



A blue speech bubble with a tail pointing towards the top-left corner, containing white text.

I now work for the US State  
Dept. and I'm on the Board  
of the National Science  
Foundation.

## CHAPTER 4 THE ELECTROMAGNETIC UNIVERSE

In the previous chapter, you learned that Antarctica is not all that it seems. It is not a continent, but rather a gargantuan ice wall that surrounds our oceans. This ice wall is isolated from general exploration by the Antarctic Treaty System ostensibly to protect flora and fauna. Since inland Antarctica has no plants and animals, the restrictions are overkill in the extreme.

You might well ask, if the earth is flat, how is it possible that we can circumnavigate the continents and oceans? In fact, it is entirely possible to circumnavigate on the flat earth. One possible route is illustrated in Figure 8.




Figure 8

But, what about air travel and circumnavigation of the earth by plane? We will take up that subject in Chapter 5. First, in order to understand how flying around our earth works in our flat earth model, we must first examine how directions and magnetism differ from what we have been taught on “our” spinning ball. But, what **have** we been taught about magnetism and the spherical earth?

Ask a science teacher to explain the source of Earth’s magnetism. You will get a description of a solid core at the center of our spinning ball. This entirely hypothetical central core acts as a dynamo (electrical generator) maintained by convection currents from the molten outer core. (See Figure 9)




Figure 9

In this dynamo model, the rotation of the earth and the convection currents circulate the molten metal of the outer core. A magnetic field is generated by the electric current produced by the uneven circulation of the positive and negative charges in the liquid metal outer core, which consists of iron and a lesser amount of nickel.

Suffice it to say that all of this explanation is conjecture since no one has penetrated past the earth’s crust. The deepest drilling ever accomplished penetrated only eight miles below the surface. Figure 9 is based on theory that has no proof. But, like other explanations that support the spherical earth, it is

accepted as fact and taught in our schools.

Now that we know the spherical earth theory, how does magnetism work on the flat earth model? It works perfectly because we are living in an electromagnetic world. Nikola Tesla figured this out and that is why he understood that free electrical energy is a given gift to mankind if you only tap into it. But, the greedy oligarchy of his world and subsequently our world made sure that never materialized.

Let's take a look at the electrical "universe" (EU) theory which fits in like a puzzle piece for electromagnetism rather than gravity as the primary force governing our world. Basically, electricity is the dominant energy source that is the foundation that holds everything together, as opposed to the theoretical concept of gravity. In the universe governed by electromagnetism, gravity does not exist.

Also, nonexistent in the EU are black holes, dark energy and dark matter, and nuclear anything in space, including stars, such as our sun. Yes, our sun and the stars exist, just not as nuclear reactors, but as electrical entities. Bear in mind, the gravity universe is based on mathematical constructs rather than actual observational proofs.

So-called scientists keep adding in new constructs in order to get their bogus mathematical formulas to work. They invented "dark energy" and "dark matter" to balance out their absurdly complex equations. There's no telling what these formula junkies will invent next.

Instead of a nuclear reactor that will eventually use up all its fuel and die, our sun is an electric dynamo powered by Birkeland currents (first proposed by Kristian Birkeland in the late 19th century). These electric currents generating ionized gases travel through Earth's ionosphere (dome) by connecting with the Earth's magnetic field. They are responsible for the aurora borealis and temperatures in the ionosphere that can reach near 18,032 degrees Fahrenheit. Electricity has shaped and continues to shape the "universe" we now observe.

In the EU electromagnetism is the dominant force on Earth. It is everywhere. Our flat earth is powered by this electromagnetism. The positive and negative charges are at the "North Pole," which is the center point of our flat earth model. Since the North Pole is the only fixed point, there are no fixed East, West or South on the flat earth.

But, what about the South Pole? Has anyone ever been there? The answer is "no" because the South Pole does not exist. An arbitrary point on the Antarctic ice shelf is marked with a barber pole topped with the spherical earth model. This ceremonial pole is not presented as the actual South Pole, but rather is a standin. The excuse is that the pole is constantly moving making verification a moot point. But, the real reason is that the actual South Pole, if it existed, could be verified with a compass showing north to be all 360 degrees around the pole.

Polaris (the North Star) is directly above the North Pole and, therefore, has a history in its use in navigation. South is all points projecting outward. East and West are concentric circles at right angles (90 degrees) from the North Pole. (See




Figure 10)  
Figure 10

The flat earth can be described as an electromagnetic energy plane. The salt water, which makes up over 70% of the earth's surface, provides an excellent conductor of electricity, circulating electrolytes that provide continuous free energy should we tap into it. In fact, this conductivity of salt water is the reason the waters of the oceans are salty rather than fresh like inland lakes and streams. The tides of the oceans, in fact, are caused by electromagnetism and not gravity.

But, what about the compass? Do compasses work differently on a flat earth as opposed to the theoretical globe? The answer, of course, is no because our flat

earth did not magically transform from a sphere. For example, ring magnets like the ones found in a loudspeaker have a north pole in the center. The south pole is all points along the perimeter. Our flat earth model illustrates this ring magnet concept. (See Figure 10) On the globe earth, the mariner's compass could not work. If the compass points toward the North Pole on a spinning ball, the south needle would be pointing toward outer space. We have been led to believe that the compass simultaneously points to both magnetic poles that are constantly moving as a result of the molten core, making actual verification a moot point. On the purely theoretical globe earth, non-verification is viral akin to cat videos on the Internet.

We are living on a flat plane covered by a dome, both governed by electromagnetism. All the free energy is available to us if only we would tap into it. When we look up at the sun, we are seeing an electric luminary rather than a nuclear furnace. This luminary is powered by the dome and will not burn out of fuel like its nuclear counterpart. Our electric universe is eternal.

<https://aplanetruth.info/11-how-does-a-ship-circumnavigate-on-a-flatearth/>

<https://kaiserscience.wordpress.com/earth-science/earths-layered-structure/>

<https://www.energy.gov/articles/top-11-things-you-didnt-know-about-nikola-tesla>

[https://www.plasma-universe.com/Birkeland\\_current](https://www.plasma-universe.com/Birkeland_current)

<https://www.thunderbolts.info/wp/2018/08/03/dark-horizon/>

**FERC - Electric Magnetic Flat Earth Cosmology**

A quick discussion on the topics of... **Flat Earth**/Enclosed Cosmology, **Electric universe** theory, Tesla, Eric Dollard, the toroid, electromagnetism, the luminaries ...

[www.everythingselectric.com](http://www.everythingselectric.com)

<https://www.worldslastchance.com/flatearth/countless-explorers-have-circumnavigated-the-globe.html>

<https://flatearthofficial.com/2018/04/03/circumnavigation-and-the-magnetism-of-the-earth/>

<http://www.electricuniverseuk.com/>

<https://www.reddit.com>







## CHAPTER 5

### CIRCUMNAVIGATION ON THE FLAT EARTH

In the previous chapter, you learned that we live in an electric universe. Electromagnetism is the governing force on the flat earth rather than gravity, including how it defines directions and the compass. Now it is time to take up circumnavigating the earth by plane.

Let's consider the magnificent journey of Adrian A. Eichhorn, currently a pilot with JetBlue Airways. Adrian achieved a solo circumnavigation of the earth in 2016 in a Beechcraft Bonanza. (See [flybluehorizons.com](http://flybluehorizons.com)) I found out about Adrian's odyssey when I met his friend and fellow pilot H.R. Zucker on the Panama Canal cruise I mentioned previously. ***Note: To the best of my knowledge, neither Adrian Eichhorn nor H.R. Zucker are in any way involved in the flat earth movement.***

Adrian's starting point was Manassas, Virginia. His flight plan would take him eastward. He ultimately set down in Long Beach, California prior to heading across the U.S. On the leg of his journey from Christmas Island to Hawaii, his autopilot malfunctioned and he had to fly 15 hours manually. But, despite this adversity, he managed to take photographs, including a spectacular sunset. Notice the perfectly level horizon. (See Figure 11)



Figure 11

Here is Adrian's route as depicted on his website (See Figures 12 and 13)




Figure 12

## Cities Visited During Adrian's Circumnavigation

Identifier	Airport	Identifier	Airport	Distance (NM)
KHEF	Manassas, VA	KBGR	Bangor, ME	533
KBGR	Bangor, ME	CYYR	Goose Bay	607
CYYR	Goose Bay	BGBW	Narsarsuaq, Greenland	674
BGBW	Narsarsuaq, Greenland	BIRK	Reykjavik, Iceland	667
BIRK	Reykjavik, Iceland	EGPC	Wick, UK	637
EGPC	Wick, UK	LFRG	Deauville - Saint Gatlen, France	557
LFRG	Deauville - Saint Gatlen, France	EDNY	Friedrichshafen, Germany	388
EDNY	Friedrichshafen, Germany	LIRA	Rome, Italy	385
LIRA	Rome, Italy	HEMM	Cairo, Egypt	941
HEMM	Cairo, Egypt	HESA	Aswan, Egypt	207
HESA	Aswan, Egypt	OMAD	Abu Dhabi	1273
OMAD	Abu Dhabi	VCBI	Sri Lanka	1789
VCBI	Sri Lanka	VTSP	Phukt, Thailand	1096
VTSP	Phukt, Thailand	WADD	Bali	1428
WADD	Bali	YPDN	Darwin	952
YPDN	Darwin	YBAF	Brisbane	1540
YBAF	Brisbane	NWWW	New Caledonia	792
NWWW	New Caledonia	NTSU	Pago Pago	1391
NTSU	Pago Pago	PLCH	Christmas Island	1260
PLCH	Christmas Island	PHTO	Hilo, Hawaii	1082
PHTO	Hilo, Hawaii	KLGB	Long Beach, CA	2135

Figure 13

Compare this route with how it would look on our flat earth map. (See Figure 14)



**Route is Approximate**

Figure 14

Adrian's inspiring adventure is a truly modern example of circumnavigation regardless of whether your model is the globe or the flat earth.

OK, circumnavigating on the flat earth works if you are traveling east or west. But, what about north or south? On a globe, north and south circumnavigation should work. You start out at any location, let's say Seattle. You fly north, cross the North Pole and begin navigating south. Eventually you reach Antarctica, fly across and continue north again until you return to Seattle. Piece of cake!

Well, not so much. Prior to 1982, no one had claimed to achieve north/south circumnavigation of the globe. Compare this date to the first east/west circumnavigation in 1522. That's a gap of 460 years.



Then, along comes British explorer Ranulph Fiennes who has in his adventurous career accomplished virtually every type of exploration imaginable with the exception of space travel, which no doubt is on his bucket list. Of course, at this writing he's in his seventies so that may be an expedition that eludes him.

Fiennes, traveling with Charles Burton, also a Brit, began their expedition from London in September 1979, crossed the "South Pole" in December 1980, the North Pole in April 1982, and returned to their starting point in August 1982. In total they completed a 35,000-mile journey. Their Antarctic trek took them from Princess Elizabeth Station to Ross Island. (See Figure 15)




Figure 15

Should we believe this account? According to WikiTravel, all visitors to the South Pole head north on leaving since that is "literally the only direction in which you can go." Yet, somehow, Fiennes and Burton continued on to Ross Island which appears to be the shorter trek of their journey across the ice.

Let's assume for the moment that Fiennes and Burton actually believed they traveled across the frozen wasteland from Princess Elizabeth Station to Ross Island. Could they have accomplished this amazing feat on the flat earth map? The answer is not the way illustrated in Figure 15. Instead, they would have



found themselves trudging eastward at some distance inland from the ice wall.

Their journey across Antarctica took 67 days. It's interesting to note that when Fiennes and Burton left the South Pole, they discovered they were heading in the wrong direction and decided to correct their course when they were out of sight of the 60 or so group at the pole station, many of whom were snapping photos. Guess that would have been embarrassing! But, let's recall that directions on the flat earth are governed by electromagnetism in a manner different from the globe model. (See Chapter 4) Let's take a look at Antarctica in relation to the other continents according to the global model. (See Figure 16)



Figure 16

Fiennes and Burton arrived in Antarctica from Africa and departed toward Australia. (See Figure 17)



Figure 17

But, what about on the flat earth model? Rather than traveling north to south, Fiennes and Burton would have to travel east. (See Figure 18) The expedition would not have to double back, just continue eastward. Once they reached Ross Island, they continued by boat to Australia. From Australia, traveling back toward their starting point on either earth model is self-evident.




Figure 18

On the flat earth model, actual north/south circumnavigation is not possible. Any claims to that achievement must necessarily be qualified. Bear in mind that the actual shape of the flat earth has not been verified and any flat earth maps are for the purposes of demonstration rather than accuracy of design. It may very well be easier to travel from Princess Elizabeth Station to Ross Island on the actual flat earth. What we do know for sure is that you cannot achieve north/south circumnavigation on our flat earth as reflected by the globe earth model.

A good illustration of recognition of this problem is the route of the current

record holder for north/south circumnavigation, Bill Harrelson. Harrelson completed his record making flight in 2015. The outer line is his proposed route and the inner lines illustrate his actual route. Inclement weather caused him to double back on his route to Antarctica, but he is still credited with north/south circumnavigation. (See Figure 19) There is no doubt that Harrelson's flights reflect an awesome achievement. But, can we really call this a north/south circumnavigation?




Figure 19

There are other examples of so-called north/south circumnavigation. It's not expeditious here to examine each one individually. Suffice it to say that in each case, there has to exist an explanation as to how this feat was achieved. Either weather conditions, mechanical conditions, or medical conditions explain how some of them failed in actual circumnavigation. Others may have completed their expeditions in a manner that can actually fit into our flat earth design. One illustration is presented in Figure 18.

What we know for sure is that on our flat earth you cannot fly or trek over the continent of Antarctica simply because Antarctica is not a continent. You can go inland on the Antarctic ice shelf and visit the ceremonial barber pole on the top of which is a globe. But, you are not allowed to go further because ultimately

you would run into an impassable obstacle. Knowledge of this obstacle is protected by the Antarctic Treaty System at all costs.

The ATS and its enforcement makes Area 51 look like a locked supply closet. You may hear about Area 51 on “Ancient Aliens” on the History Channel, for example. But, you will never hear about the obstacle that keeps the bulk of Antarctica off limits. Never, ever.....

[www.flybluehorizons.com](http://www.flybluehorizons.com)

<https://www.britannica.com/biography/Ranulph-Fiennes>

<https://wikitravel.org/en/Antarctica>

<http://www.thecoldestjourney.org/the-expedition/map-of-route/>

<https://wikitravel.org/en/Antarctica>

<https://www.accuweather.com/en/weather-blogs/climatechange/why-antarctica-is-not-warming-1/26883144>

<https://www.worldslastchance.com/flaearth/countless-explorers-have-circumnavigated-the-globe.html>

<https://www.facebook.com/ZQ-Pilot-863477070381846/>

<http://mrwreads.blogspot.com/2012/03/wonder-pages-51-80.html>

<https://www.deviantart.com/brokensandwich/art/Yoda-Y-O-D-A-Yoda-160298992>



I'm Chief of  
Security at Area 51.



Big Deal! Chief of Security  
in Antarctica I am.



## CHAPTER 6 UNDER THE DOME

In the previous chapter, you learned that circumnavigation on the flat earth is entirely possible east or west, but not north/south. So, what is the impenetrable barrier beyond the Antarctic ice wall that is so formidably secretive and mysterious? It is the dome that covers our flat earth. It holds in our atmosphere and harbors the luminaries we call, sun, moon, and stars.

You may well ask how do we know this dome exists? Has anyone ever seen it? The same can be said for the liquid core at the center of the earth. It's entirely hypothetical yet we accept it as fact and it's taught in our schools.

OK, so what is the evidence for the dome? Let's first look at the Antarctic exploration of Admiral Richard Byrd and Operation High Jump (1946 to 1947). The goal for this operation was to establish a base in Antarctica and to accomplish various scientific and military studies related to operating in the frigid environment.

Bear in mind that Antarctica has the coldest climate on the earth even though the Arctic and Antarctic on a spinning ball should exhibit the same temperature range. In the Arctic, temperatures range from  $-58^{\circ}\text{F}$  to  $50^{\circ}\text{F}$ . Compare this to the mean annual temperature of the interior of Antarctica at  $-70.6^{\circ}\text{F}$ .

During the Operation High Jump expedition, Admiral Byrd's party encountered something that scared them. Something that ultimately lead to the off-limits status of most of Antarctica.

In a news interview in March of 1947 Admiral Byrd warned of the possibility of invasion by hostile planes flying in from the polar regions. He went so far as to say that the most important result of his observations and discoveries was the potential threat to the security of the United States. But, it certainly was not hostile planes flying in from Antarctica.

It is clear that Byrd encountered something unexpected in Antarctica, something he considered sinister. Did the plane crash that killed three members of his expedition in December 1946 suffer a collision with the dome? The plane purportedly went down in a blizzard. Let's see, how unlikely is this?

It just so happens that Antarctica is so dry that it's technically a desert. The average annual precipitation in the interior is 2.0 inches. Weather fronts rarely reach the interior. It is extremely unlikely that Admiral Byrd's expedition encountered a blizzard in the interior of Antarctica. It's certainly unfortunate that the discovery of the dome resulted in tragedy.

Now let's take a look at Operation Deep Freeze (1955-1956 and ongoing) which represented an international cooperation to establish a permanent research station in Antarctica with various scientific goals and aspirations. Since 1956, the United States has maintained a constant presence in Antarctica.

Today Operation Deep Freeze (ODF) is described on the Official U.S. Defense Department Science Blog as "a joint service ongoing Defense Support to Civilian Authorities' (DSCA) activity in support of the National Science Foundation." It's wonderful that the military is so diligent in its protection of science.

We can see that the military presence in Antarctica is well entrenched. (See also Chapter 3) Keep this fact in mind as we discuss Operation Dominic (1962), which was a series of 31 nuclear test explosions conducted by the U.S. military in the Pacific. Operation Dominic is of interest because a significant part of this nuclear testing was Operation Fishbowl, which involved high altitude tests in which the nuclear bombs were launched by missiles from Johnston Island in the Pacific. (See Figure 20)



## Operation Dominic



<b>Test site</b>	Johnston Island, Johnston Atoll Kiritimati (Christmas Island), Kiribati  Pacific Ocean off California  Period 1962
------------------	---

<b>Number of tests</b>	31
<b>Test type</b>	air drop, free air drop, high-altitude rocket (30–80 km), parachuted, underwater
<b>Max. yield</b>	8.3 megatons of TNT (35 PJ)

Figure 20

Operation Fishbowl was well monitored by numerous surface stations and

aircraft not only in the wide area surrounding the detonations, but also in the area of the Samoan Islands in the southern hemisphere. Note that Johnston Island and all other detonation locations were located in the northern hemisphere. The reason for the inclusion of this dubbed “southern conjugate region” stemmed from previous high-altitude nuclear tests conducted in 1958 as well as theoretical work in the late 1950’s.

These earlier tests confirmed that high altitude nuclear tests produce unique geophysical phenomena at the opposite end of the magnetic field line of the earth’s magnetic field. Charged particles entered the atmosphere in the northern hemisphere yet auroras were observed in the southern hemisphere. Notice the shape of the magnetic lines. (See Figure 21)




Figure 21

The high-altitude detonations of Operation Fishbowl illuminated a large expanse of the Pacific in both the northern and southern hemispheres. Resonant scattering of light from lithium (lithium deuteride serves as a fusion fuel in staged thermonuclear weapons) and other debris was observed for days, confirming the longtime presence of debris in the atmosphere. It should be noted that lithium has industrial uses including heat-resistant glass.

Various studies in Operation Fishbowl confirmed the effects of the nuclear blasts on communications and electronics. The electromagnetic pulse (EMP) generated by a high-altitude nuclear explosion demonstrated significant differences from the electromagnetic pulse generated by nuclear explosions closer to the surface.

But, what was the underlying purpose of all this manpower, resources, and expense? There is the distinct possibility that sending missiles with nuclear

bombs straight up into the atmosphere was ultimately designed to test the dome barrier discovered by Admiral Byrd and no doubt confirmed thereafter.

For sure we know that all of the expense and effort verified that the dome is impenetrable by anything that we in the 20<sup>th</sup> century could launch at it, and that it is at least 250 miles high. Whoever created it did so with a technology far in advance of anything that we have ever conceived of. Although this work is written from a secular viewpoint, knowledge of the flat earth covered by a dome akin to a giant force field cannot help but make you think.

You have just learned that Operation Fishbowl was a part of Operation Dominic. Did you wonder why these monikers were selected to designate these military operations? Historically Dominic has been a popular boy's name among Roman Catholics. The name's origin comes from the Latin Dominicus, meaning "belonging to God." Dominic is, therefore, an odd choice for the label of a military operation.

Fishbowl is also an odd name for an operation that features multiple launches of missiles for the purpose of detonating nuclear bombs in the upper atmosphere. When I was a young kid, my uncle had a goldfish who lived in your standard, simple fish bowl. (See Figure 22) *Upside Down Dome*




Figure 22

Now let's consider an analogous fishbowl, the domed flat earth. (See Figure 23) Which of these two images do you think the military had in mind when naming Operation Fishbowl?

*Upside Down Fishbowl*



Figure 23

You have to give the military and the affiliated scientists and engineers credit where credit is due. The dome is discovered in Antarctica. They figure out that you can't break through it. So, they go with the flow, so-to-speak. It becomes clear with all these tests and experiments that the dome can be useful. Most significantly, it was discovered that it's a great reflector of radio waves and other transmissions.

It became clear that the dome possessed electromagnetic properties and could be charged, facilitating control of signals bounced from satellite dish to satellite dish. If the dome could be used to transmit signals from ground-based satellite dishes, launching satellites into low earth orbit would prove superfluous.

Research on the dome covering our flat earth is ongoing. HAARP (High Frequency Active Auroral Research Program) was initiated in 1993 for the purpose of analyzing the ionosphere and investigating the potential for developing enhancement technology for radio communications and surveillance. The HAARP facility, located in Alaska, is a high-power, high-frequency transmitter used for the study of the ionosphere (translation, the dome). It cost more than \$290 million dollars to build. (See Figures 24 and 25)



Figure 24




Figure 25

The Ionospheric Research Instrument (IRI) is the most powerful instrument at HAARP. (See Figure 26) IRI is a powerful radio transmitter operating in the HF (high frequency) band. Its purpose is to temporarily excite a limited area of the ionosphere, which is then studied and analyzed by various instrumentation to ascertain the physical processes that occur in the excited region. Translate ionosphere as the dome.

The IRI in use today was completed in 2007. In 2015 ownership of the facility and its equipment was transferred from the U.S. military to the University of Alaska Fairbanks. In 2017, HAARP continued its research into radio transmissions in the ionosphere operating as a university facility.





Figure 26

Suffice it to say that over the years since the beginning of Operation Fishbowl, enormous resources have poured into the study and experimentation of the dome under the label of ionosphere. And, this intensive effort is continuing today.

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Operation\\_Highjump](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Operation_Highjump)

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Operation\\_Deep\\_Freeze](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Operation_Deep_Freeze)

<http://science.dodlive.mil/operation-deep-freeze/>

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Climate\\_of\\_the\\_Arctic](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Climate_of_the_Arctic)

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Climate\\_of\\_Antarctica](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Climate_of_Antarctica)

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Operation\\_Dominic](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Operation_Dominic)

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Operation\\_Fishbowl](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Operation_Fishbowl)

<http://cliparts.co/fishbowl-pics>

[https://www.doovi.com/video/100-percent-proof-our-world-is-enclosed-by/jvM\\_vkTnkMY](https://www.doovi.com/video/100-percent-proof-our-world-is-enclosed-by/jvM_vkTnkMY)

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/High\\_Frequency\\_Active\\_Auroral\\_Research\\_Program](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/High_Frequency_Active_Auroral_Research_Program)

[https://www.wanttoknow.info/war/haarp\\_weather\\_modification\\_electromagnetic\\_warfare\\_weapons](https://www.wanttoknow.info/war/haarp_weather_modification_electromagnetic_warfare_weapons)


<https://www.gi.alaska.edu/learn/haarp-iri-described-eis>

<http://www.theblackvault.com/documentarchive/the-high-frequency-active-auroral-research-program-haarp/#>

<http://ufosightingshotspot.blogspot.com/2013/02/artificial-plasma-clouds-made-by-haarp.html>

<https://www.dutchsinse.com/9232015-haarp-ionospheric-research-instrument-iri-is-again-open-for-business/>

I can't break through  
my dome. Air  
breathing humans  
have the same  
obstacle. Hee Hee!





## CHAPTER 7


### SPACE TRAVEL ON THE FLAT EARTH

In the previous chapter, you learned about the discovery of the dome that covers the flat earth and the governmental operations designed to crack the code that defines it. (Sorry, couldn't resist). OK, so let's say there is a dome and we can't go through it. Doesn't that preclude space travel other than "low earth orbit?" Excellent observation and, indeed the answer is most definitely!

Political necessity led to the moon landing fakery. The United States was in a cold war with the Soviet Union and the country needed a victory in the highly publicized "race to space." John F. Kennedy delivered his famous "moon" speech in Houston, Texas in September 1962 in order to persuade Americans to support the Apollo program.

Kennedy characterized space as the new frontier that was the destiny of Americans to conquer. Who hasn't heard Kennedy's resonating words, "We choose to go to the moon [.....] not because it is easy, but because it is hard?"

In October of 1957 the Soviets had launched Sputnik, the first manmade object to reach "space." (See Figure 27) The Soviet Union enjoyed enormous prestige from the success of Sputnik while it provoked anxiety in the United States. The implication that we were technologically behind the Soviets served as the impetus for John F. Kennedy's goal of reaching the moon in 1969.



**Note: If Sputnik existed, it had to be circling over the Flat Earth.**

Figure 27

Unfortunately, even if it were possible for mankind to reach the moon and walk around on it, we simply did not have the technology in 1969. The fact is that we still lack the technology in 2018 almost 50 years later. NASA admits they still have to figure out how to solve the problem of the Van Allen radiation belts and cannot explain how they managed to lose this technology in the interim since Apollo. (See Figure 28)




Figure 28

The Van Allen radiation belts are named after James Van Allen who discovered them in 1958 from instrumentation aboard early satellites. He is credited with establishing the field of magnetospheric research in “space.” The earth’s magnetosphere is the region of “space” in which charged particles are manipulated or affected by the earth’s magnetic field. (See Figure 29) The reason that the earth has this magnetic field is that theoretically it has an active

hot iron and nickel inner core and outer core. (See Chapter 4)




Figure 29

However, we have learned that the flat earth does not have the internal core structure necessary for a spinning ball. We can only surmise that Van Allen was studying the electromagnetic properties of the dome. And no one would know better than NASA that it is impossible to travel through the dome.

You may well wonder how NASA was able to convince the American public and the rest of the world that in 1969 and subsequent years (up until December 1972) Americans walked on the moon. And, yet they did just that. The cost of the program is estimated at over 25 billion dollars. Translated into today's (2018) inflationary reality, that's over \$173 billion! It's not difficult to see that the more successful moon missions there were, the more money NASA raked in.

Bear in mind that it is not in fact for the lack of technology that NASA could not actually send astronauts to the moon. It was the certain knowledge that we cannot go any further in space than "low earth orbit", and that would involve traveling in a circle over the flat plane. The solid, impenetrable ceiling that

covers the earth not only holds in the atmosphere, but corrals human beings, the only creatures intelligent enough to discover that they are confined in a gigantic Truman Show. (See Chapter 1) Many documentaries and videos as well as books have taken issue with the veracity of the moon missions. Here are some but definitely not all of the questionable but verifiable proofs:

- **Flag waving in a vacuum.**
- **No stars in the background.**
- **No dust on the landing pads.**
- **No burn marks or craters after landing.**
- **Slow motion photography.**
- **Multiple direction shadows in moon videos when the sun is supposed to be the only light source.**
- **Lack of lead shielding in spacecrafts and space suits.**
- **NASA claims technology necessary to go through Van Allen radiation belts has been lost.**
- **Materials used in the spacecrafts could not withstand the temperatures in the thermosphere, which can reach over 3100°F. The melting temperatures of the Apollo spacecraft materials are well below that of the thermosphere:**
  - **Aluminum melts at 671°F**
  - **Stainless steel melts at 2750°F**
  - **Nickel steel alloy melts at 2647°F**
  - **Heat resistant glass melts at 1400°F**
- **The astronauts would have needed several feet of lead shielding for protection against radiation but had only a thin layer of aluminum.**
- **With the lead shielding needed, the spacecraft would have been too heavy to take off.**

There are many other discrepancies that numerous individuals have cited as anomalies in the Apollo program to the moon. A 2001 documentary by filmmaker Bart Sibrel, entitled “A Funny Thing Happened on the Way to the Moon” postulates that all of the moon missions between 1969 and 1972 were staged by NASA with the full support of the United States government. (See

Figure 30)




Figure 30

One not-so-funny thing happened on January 27, 1967 when a launch rehearsal in Apollo 1 turned to horrific tragedy. Astronauts Gus Grissom, Ed White, and Roger Chaffee (See Figure 31) died as the result of a cabin fire ignited by an electrical spark that spread rapidly due to highly combustible materials, high pressure, and 100% pure oxygen cabin atmosphere.

The astronauts could not escape from within or be rescued from without because the Apollo 1 Command Module in which they were entombed had a “plug door” hatch which could not be opened against the higher internal pressure of the cabin. To say that this was poor emergency preparedness is an understatement.

Speculation has abounded that the Apollo 1 tragedy was no accident, but deliberately planned to silence these astronauts, in particular Grissom. Grissom had criticized the technology of the Apollo program, going so far as to hang a lemon he brought from his backyard onto the simulator (not the actual Command Module) five days prior to the tragic fire.



**Left to right: White, Grissom, Chaffee**

Figure 31

I personally remember the news of this horrific so-called accident my senior year in high school. Even then I did not believe this tragedy was an accident. Neither did Grissom's family. Here is why.

Grissom had been one of the Mercury Seven astronauts. In 1961 he piloted Liberty Bell, the second American suborbital flight. The mission lasted 15 minutes. At splashdown the hatch blew off prematurely. Grissom escaped but the capsule sank. The media blamed Grissom, and although it was later proved not to be his fault, his reputation suffered.

In the Apollo program, Gus Grissom was the senior NASA astronaut and as such was slated to be the first man to walk on the moon. He was also the most critical about problems incurred during the preparations for the Apollo missions not only by NASA, but also North American Aviation, the main Apollo contractor.

For all of these reasons, NASA did not want Gus Grissom to be the first man to step out on the surface of the moon and utter the famous words later intoned by Neil Armstrong. Or perhaps it was because they were afraid he would ultimately reveal that the Apollo program was all an elaborate hoax!

In 1999 Grissom's son Scott was finally allowed access to the Apollo 1 capsule in which his father and two other astronauts had died in 1967. (See Figure 32) When Scott examined the charred capsule, he discovered a metal plate behind a control panel switch which controlled the electrical power from an



outside source to the capsule's batteries.



Figure 32

When the astronauts activated the switch to transfer power to the module's batteries, the inevitable spark ignited the fire in the 100% oxygen filled cabin. Scott believed the placement of the metal plate was an act of sabotage.

Gus Grissom had warned his wife that "if there is ever a serious accident in the space program, it's likely to be me." All of the evidence, including the motive pointed to sabotage. Scott went public with the family's belief that his father and the two astronauts in the capsule with him were murdered.

Clark MacDonald, the engineer hired to investigate the fire, corroborated Scott Grissom's conclusion. He stated that NASA destroyed his report and interview tapes and that he remained silent for over 30 years ostensibly because he did not want to hurt NASA. Or might he have remained silent because he didn't want to end up like the three astronauts?

Now let's take a look at another three astronauts who were chosen after 1967 to become the crew of Apollo 11, the first mission in which man ostensibly landed and walked on the moon. Neil Armstrong and Buzz Aldrin walked on the moon while Michael Collins piloted the command module. (See Figure 33)



Left to right: Buzz Aldrin, Neil Armstrong, and Michael Collins in press conference after moon landing  
Figure 33

This photo of the three astronauts was taken at their first press conference following their historic flight. All three men appear exhausted and miserable, which has been explained as the result that:

1. They were test pilots and trained like **“Dragnet”** detectives to “stick to the facts.”
2. They were indeed exhausted from their **treatment like lab rats under a microscope.**
3. They weren't used to publicity and disliked being in the public eye.
4. They were suffering residual effects from their double exposure (flight there and back) through the Van Allen



## **radiation belts.**

OK, number 4 is the possible explanation I personally interjected. You may have heard that the Apollo 11 astronauts were unaware that they flew through the Van Allen radiation belts both coming and going to the moon. They certainly suffered no discernable ill effects, apparently proving that intense exposure to radiation does not harm space travelers. However, NASA has now decided that radiation is not only harmful, but deadly, and is trying to figure out how to get back to the moon with live astronauts.

When I first tried to figure out why the Apollo 11 crew appeared so desolate, I couldn't help but recall a scene from the Bill Murray movie, "The Man Who Knew Too Little." Murray's character believes that he is a performer in a reality play. In actuality he is in the middle of a terrorist plot and the woman he is with would have been a murder victim had he not bumped onto the scene.

During the bizarre and hilarious scenario that ensues, Murray's character notices the woman in tears, and believing her to be a cast member in a play, he wonders how actors can instantly bring on the tears. He asks the question, "Do you think, my dog is dead? My dog is dead...?"

In this press conference photo, I fantasized that Astronaut number 1 had come home only to discover that his dog was dead. Astronaut number 2 came home and discovered that someone had run off with his dog. Astronaut number 3 had come home and discovered that someone had run off with his dead dog. Just thought I would interject a bit of humor here when frankly there is nothing humorous about the press conference photo.

But, maybe this photo depicts the way you would feel if you had just returned from "low earth orbit" (unlikely) or a Nevada desert location transformed into a Hollywood set. Not to mention the fact that you were destined to receive the Space Medal of Honor and participate in a ticker tape parade for the first manned flight to the moon.

Deception can take a lot out of a person, especially on this level. Lying becomes much harder when you have to do it over and over again, especially with the knowledge that you will have to perpetuate the lie for the rest of your life.

So, we had lost the first few battles of the space race to the Soviets, but with successful moon missions we had won the war. The Soviets never made it to the moon. I remember the announcement after Apollo 17 that the Russians would now be able to track our Apollo missions. We were thrilled about this because we wanted our Cold War adversary to follow our Apollo spacecrafts. That way we could “rub their noses in it.”

How disappointed we were when NASA suddenly canceled the remaining Apollo missions, ostensibly for budget reasons. Was the real reason the knowledge that the Soviets could now track the entire moon journey? To say the least, that would be a problem since we weren’t actually going to the moon.

And, then oddly, the Soviets never sent their own astronauts to the moon. Perhaps because they figured out it wasn’t possible and lacked the resources to stage the elaborate hoax the Americans had perpetrated.

The United States possessed one resource in particular that the Russians lacked. That resource was Hollywood. The connection between Stanley Kubrick, legendary Hollywood director, and NASA official Frederick Ordway, among others, is a verifiable historical fact. (See Figure 34)



**Kubrick Left and Ordway**

Figure 34

If you haven’t yet seen it, I invite you to get a bowl of popcorn and watch the 1977 movie Capricorn One. (Available on Amazon Prime) In this film, NASA

stages a fake Mars expedition in order to preserve the space program. The astronauts reluctantly play along to protect their families. (See Figure 35)




Figure 35

Unfortunately, the space capsule burns up during reentry, meaning there are three dead astronauts. The fact that the astronauts are still alive in a remote desert location presents a problem. These highly trained and intelligent young men are now a liability, and the decision is made to permanently dispose of them. Sound familiar?

Along comes a dedicated reporter whose initial suspicion turns into certainty as he doggedly pursues the truth. Too bad there wasn't an Elliot Gould character back in the sixties and seventies to expose the malignant hoax perpetrated on the American people. That hoax is continuing today as NASA plots to return to the moon and eventually send astronauts to Mars.

<https://www.jfklibrary.org/JFK/JFK-in-History/Space-Program.aspx>

<https://theextinctionprotocol.wordpress.com/2013/03/04/nasa-space-probes-discover-extra-belt-of-radiation-around-earth>

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Van\\_Allen\\_radiation\\_belt](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Van_Allen_radiation_belt)

<https://www.dollartimes.com/calculators/inflation.htm>

2001 documentary by filmmaker Bart Sibrel, entitled “A Funny Thing Happened on the Way to the Moon”

[http://www.answers.com/Q/What\\_is\\_the\\_temperature\\_range\\_of\\_the\\_thermosphere](http://www.answers.com/Q/What_is_the_temperature_range_of_the_thermosphere)

<https://www.gaia.com/lp/content/the-apollo-1-conspiracy-did-nasa-covered-up-gus-grissoms-death/>

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gus\\_Grissom](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gus_Grissom)

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Apollo\\_1](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Apollo_1)

<https://www.seeker.com/the-apollo-1-conspiracy-theory-1766444880.html>

<https://www.unexplained-mysteries.com/forum/topic/83397-apollo-astronaut-was-murdered-son-charges/>

Apollo 11 News Conference Youtube.com

<https://www.quora.com/At-the-post-flight-press-conference-of-Apollo-11-why-did-Armstrong-Aldrin-and-Collins-look-so-perplexed-and-tensed>

“The Man Who Knew Too Little,” Amazon Prime

“Capricorn One,” Amazon Prime

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sputnik\\_1](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sputnik_1)

<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Thermosphere>

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=xciCJfbTvE4>

<https://www.jpl.nasa.gov/infographics/infographic.view.php?id=11188>

<https://pics-about-space.com/apollo-1-capsule-today?p=1>

<https://medium.com/every-day-is-movies/capricorn-one-and-the-birth-of-the-moon-landing-hoax-conspiracy-ec51a6cf3e26>

<https://www.mojvideo.com/uporabnik/rikisuave/slika/stanley-kubrick-meeting-with-nasa-officials/596014>

<https://www.nytimes.com/2014/07/14/us/14ordway.html>

<https://www.flickr.com/photos/karenmcq/5914207249>

<http://utkarshspeak.blogspot.com/2013/12/do-you-know-why-snakes-have-forked.html>

<https://www.nasa.gov/content/blue-marble-image-of-the-earth-from-apollo-17>

<https://www.nasa.gov/image-feature/nasa-captures-epic-earth-image>

<https://earthobservatory.nasa.gov/blogs/elegantfigures/2011/10/06/crafting-the-blue-marble/>

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=CbRQlgt0mfE> Robert Simmon, NASA CGI expert excerpts from interview in which he states, “It is photoshopped but it has to be.” He created the iconic “Blue Marble” image.





It is  
photoshopped



But it has  
to be!



## THE SUN ON THE FLAT EARTH

In the previous chapter, you learned that space travel is not possible on the flat earth and that NASA felt compelled to simulate the missions to the moon as a political, economic, and social expedient. Unfortunately, once a hoax is perpetrated as elaborate, multi-faceted and multi-layered as the Apollo missions, continuing to maintain the façade becomes increasingly problematic and the effort escalates exponentially. Perhaps as the bard wrote, “truth will out.”

Well, if the earth is flat and enclosed by a dome, what about the sun and the moon? If the earth is motionless, does that mean that we are not traveling around the sun? Furthermore, how can the moon travel around the flat earth? Come to think of it, how come Mars and all the other planets are spherical, but the earth is flat? Certainly, all of these questions need answers that relate to the flat earth. Let's take a look at the sun first.

According to the heliocentric model we are led to believe that:

- **The earth rotates on its axis at the equator at approximately 1000 miles per hour. As you travel away from the equator the speed of the earth's spin decreases. (See Figure 36)**
- **Meanwhile, the earth is traveling in its orbit around the sun at approximately 67,000 miles per hour.**
- **The sun and therefore our solar system are moving around the center of the Milky Way (our galaxy) at 483,000 miles per hour. This is because our galaxy is spinning like a whirling dervish.**
- **Our galaxy is also wandering around its immediate neighborhood at approximately 43,000 miles per hour.**
- **While all of this is going on, our galaxy is moving toward the constellations of Leo and Virgo at 1.3 million miles per hour.**




Figure 36

Suffice it to say that none of the above incredible speeds have ever been observed or proven. Moreover, not a single person on this so-called globe has ever experienced a single second of these movements. Every fact you take for granted about the sun is based on the supposed curvature of the earth. That includes the 93,000,000-mile distance from the earth to the sun and the sun's estimated diameter of 865,000 miles.

But, how does the sun work on the flat earth? The answer is that it works similar to circumnavigation. (See Chapter 5) The sun and the moon circle above the earth. The route of the sun above the flat earth determines the seasons. (See




Figure 37)

Lines Outer to Inner: Tropic of Capricorn, Equator, Tropic of Cancer Figure 37



Of course, the flat earth model necessitates that both the sun and the moon have to be much smaller and closer than in the globe model. Observation of the sun and the moon reveals that these luminaries are both equal in size and equidistant from the earth. Moreover, the sun produces localized hot spots, which would not occur if the sun were millions of miles away.

By measuring the angles of sunlight back to their source above the clouds, it becomes apparent that the sun cannot possibly be 93,000,000 miles away, but rather approximately 3,000 miles above the earth, although that distance may vary up to 8,000 miles in altitude. (See Figure 38)




Figure 38

The moon appears to be the same size as the sun, and therefore, is at the same distance from the earth. Inasmuch as spherical subscribers observe the sun and moon as the same size, the heliocentric theory calculates the size of the sun as 400 times larger than the moon and 400 times the distance from the earth.

Oh, my, what a coincidence this is! Without this phenomenal coincidence, there could never occur a total eclipse of the sun. But, on the flat earth model, the sun and moon are approximately the same size and distance making this total eclipse possible without the necessity of this astronomical coincidence. We can certainly see how the heliocentric theory juggles mathematics around in order to fit reality into their absurd and unprovable model.

As we have learned, the heliocentric sun is approximately 865,000 miles in diameter. This measurement has to match up with the 93,000,000-mile distance

from the earth and the 400 times size from the moon. And, of course, the moon has to be approximately 239,000 miles from the earth and 2159 miles in diameter. The idea is that once you have calculated the size of the spherical earth (7926 mile diameter at the equator), everything else can fit in like a snug glove. Let's look at the heliocentric hogwash that has been shoved down our throats:

- **The diameter of our spinning ball careening through the vacuum of space is calculated at 7926 miles at the equator based on the calculated circumference of 24,901 miles.**
- **The sun is 865,000 miles in diameter and 93,000,000 miles from the earth. These statistics make the sun 400 times larger than the moon and 400 times further from the earth than the moon.**
- **Since the sun and the moon appear to be the same size, the size of the moon has to be 2159 miles in diameter, assuming that it is a rocky ball orbiting around the earth at 2288 miles per hour.**
- **The moon is on the average 238,857 miles from the earth, which is why it took the Apollo 11 astronauts 76 hours to get there. (plenty of time to rehearse and get their act together)**
- **Since we never see the far side of the moon from the earth, the moon has to orbit the earth at the exact same angular speed as it rotates on its axis. This means that the moon rotates once on its axis every time it orbits the earth.**
- **If the moon did not rotate on its axis, we would alternately see the near and far sides. Hence, the moon has to be rotating on its axis.**

But, if the spherical model is based on fallacy to begin with; that is the earth is not a sphere, then all of these astronomical calculations (pun intended) fall apart. On the other hand, with sextants and plane trigonometry, you can calculate the size of the sun and the moon at about 32 miles in diameter each and only about

3,000 miles above the earth.

On the flat earth model, sunrise and sunset occur due to the law of perspective. In the morning the sun appears to ascend from the northeast to the noon position, and to descend in the northwest. However, the sun is always above the earth's surface. We view the sun as rising and setting because of the vanishing point of perspective.

For example, if you view a plane flying away from you at a constant altitude, you will see that it gradually approaches the horizon. Drive down a straight highway and you will see that the road in the distance appears to descend toward the horizon.

(See Figure 39)



Figure 39

When the bottom of the sun meets the line of the horizon, it will intersect with the vanishing point and become lost to human perception. The sun has not set in terms of what you would expect on a spinning ball. Instead the human eye can no longer observe it.

In the flat earth model, the sun is not a ball of gas with a nuclear reactor core. Rather it is a 30-mile diameter disk about 3,000 miles away that generates heat and light via electromagnetism rather than nuclear plasma. The sun and the moon are luminaries located within the firmament or dome of the earth. It is unknown whether there is a multi-dimensional source of power for the luminaries, including the stars, both stationary and moving (planets).

Flat earth theory is constantly evolving and no chapter on the sun would be complete without mentioning the studies conducted by Steve Torrence. I highly recommend his video, “Flat Earth – Absolute Must See!” (available on YouTube Red) This meticulous scientific study concludes that the sun on the flat earth is projected and the light is observed on a personal basis.

The projected sun follows the earth’s magnetic field lines, its source outside the dome or firmament. Light polarization is projected into the magnetic field and rendered through the atmosphere.

All sun arcs measured created a non-stationary torus field that moves north or south depending on the amount of current. The intricacies of this experiment proved quite challenging. (See Figure 40)

Sun Arc Torus




Figure 40

Increased current in the field from the north pole pushes the field south and decreased current in the south pushes the field back north. Of course, theoretically there could be other forces from outside since it is unknown what lies beyond the Antarctic south latitude.

After tracking all the sun positions over a year, the animation of the torus field gives the impression of a wave going around the field, an outside force pushing and pulling on the field and projecting the image of the sun and moon in it. It does seem plausible that this explanation for the movement of the sun provides a working flat earth model. (See Figure 41)

Wave Model of Sun Movement




Figure 41

As the flat earth model evolves, eventually we will fill in the gaps in terms of how the sun and moon orbit the plane. What we do know is that the heliocentric model with all its coincidences and contrivances is based on conjecture and unprovable mathematical finagling. The end product was conceived by mainstream scientists to make everything fit together like a jigsaw puzzle. If this thing is true, then that other thing has to be true, and blah, blah, blah.

<https://io9.gizmodo.com/howfast-are-you-spinning-around-earths-axis-1508810529>

<https://www.scientificamerican.com/article/howfast-is-the-earth-mov/>

<https://astrosociety.org/edu/publications/tnl/71/howfast.html>

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=0KLd-W--ZjQ>

<http://www.nmsr.org/flatter3.htm>

<https://aplanetruth.info/17-if-a-flat-earth-why-does-the-sun-go-down/>

Steve Torrence, "Flat Earth -Absolute Must See!"

<https://astrosociety.org/edu/publications/tnl/71/howfast.html>

<https://www.space.com/17638-how-big-is-earth.html>

<https://www.universetoday.com/15055/diameter-of-earth/>

<https://www.universetoday.com/26461/circumference-of-the-earth/>

<http://coolcosmos.ipac.caltech.edu/ask/176--Howfast-does-the-Moon-travel-around-Earth->

[http://www.answers.com/Q/What is speed of moons rotation](http://www.answers.com/Q/What_is_speed_of_moons_rotation)


<https://www.universetoday.com/103206/what-is-the-distance-to-the-moon/>

<https://www.history.com/topics/apollo-11>


<https://ourplnt.com/why-do-we-only-see-one-side-of-the-moon/>

<https://www.rlrouse.com/sun.html>

This is how  
I really  
look.



Not like  
this!



## CHAPTER 9

### THE MOON ON THE FLAT EARTH

In the previous chapter, you learned that the sun is a luminary much smaller and closer than the globe model predicts. This size and distance are also true for the moon. Or, the sun could be a projection that is transmitted through the earth's magnetic field that is viewed personally much as a rainbow is viewed. The reason that our moon appears to be the same size as our sun is because it **is** the same size, and is a local object.

Now let's examine the moon more closely. In our flat earth model, the moon does not reflect the light of the sun, but rather is a light source in its own right. If the moon reflected the sun's light, its light would exhibit the same characteristics as sunlight.

When I was a kid, I tried the experiment of concentrating sun rays through a magnifying glass to see if it really would produce enough heat to ignite a few sheets of crumpled up toilet tissue. Of course, the experiment worked and very quickly!

Will the same thing happen with concentrated moonlight? The answer is a resounding no! Instead experimentation has shown that whereas sunlight is hot, moonlight is cold. Edward Hendrie, author of "The Greatest Lie on Earth," performed his own experiment with a dual laser infrared thermometer and concluded that moonlight is indeed cold. Depending upon the material used, he recorded up to 6 degrees F lower temperature for materials exposed to moonlight than those shaded from the moonlight. It seems likely then that the moon is a luminary producing its own light.

Now, you might ask yourself how cold moonlight relates to the flat earth. In



the heliocentric model, the moon is a solid spherical object like the earth except for the absence of atmosphere. That means that the only way we would be able to observe the moon as we do is if the moon reflects the light from the sun. Remember that all theories related to astronomical bodies is based on the heliocentric model of the earth.

OK, but what about lunar eclipses during which, according to the heliocentric model, the earth casts its spherical shadow on the surface of the moon as it passes between the moon and the sun? Obviously, if the earth is flat, there would be no spherical shadow. But, like any other explanation in the heliocentric universe, the explanation for the lunar eclipse is contrived.

In the flat earth model, lunar eclipses are not the result of the earth's spherical shadow on the moon. In fact, another object in the firmament could be obscuring the light from the moon.

This explanation gains credibility when we observe a total eclipse of the moon while the sun is still above the horizon, a phenomenon known as a "selenelion." But, wait, the heliocentric model explains this seemingly impossible event as an illusion, much as the Chicago skyline is a mirage because it can be viewed from 60 miles away across Lake Michigan, which contradicts the unprovable curvature of the earth.

According to heliocentric theory, the earth's atmosphere bends light so that objects appear higher in the sky than they actually are. That means you are observing the sun during the eclipse when it's actually not there.

Heliocentrism involves a great deal of illusion in which we are expected to disbelieve what we see. Basically, the concept is that if it doesn't fit the paradigm that is forced down our throats, the makeup artists get to work.

Edward Hendrie illustrates the fallacy of the earth's shadow causing a daytime lunar eclipse, explaining that atmospheric refraction necessitates that the shadow on the moon would have to ascend from the bottom up, not descend from the top down. (See Figure 42)




Figure 42

What then obscures the moon resulting in a lunar eclipse? In the flat earth model, a non-luminous object in the firmament casts its shadow on the moon. There are many luminaries in the layers of the dome. Accompanying them are many non-luminous objects. One of these dark objects is responsible for lunar eclipses.

How then can you explain the phases of the moon on the flat earth model? Rest assured that moon phases work perfectly well on our flat earth. On the flat earth model, the moon is not the spherical planet-type object on which astronauts supposedly pranced around collecting pebbles and petrified wood (Perhaps in the age of dinosaurs there were trees on the moon). Rather, the moon is a self-illuminating translucent object.

For example, when you see the crescent moon in the daytime, you can see the blue sky where the rest of the moon should be. (See Figure 43) You can also see stars through the surface of the moon on a clear night. Obviously, this would be impossible if the moon were a solid sphere.



Figure 43

The moon moves slower than the sun as it travels over the flat earth. In a 30-day period, the sun circles over the surface of the flat earth 30 times, whereas the moon completes only 28 circles. The sun gains 12 degrees on the moon every 24 hours. The phases of the moon relate to the changing angles between the sun and the moon. For example, the full moon is seen when the angle is 180 degrees and the quarter moon is seen when the angle is 90 degrees.

Another factor that causes moon phases is the intensity of light from the sun which obscures the self-luminescence of the moon. The new moon occurs when the moon is very near the sun. Also, it is conceivable that the electromagnetism between the sun and the moon affects the luminescence of the moon. Or it is conceivable that the moon itself adjusts its own light to create the phases.

We see only one side of the moon because there is only one side to see. It is a luminary in the firmament and not a spinning rock you can land on and play golf on. The moon provides its own source of light and is semitransparent. It is only about 30 miles across and about 3,000 miles above the earth. The sun's light is warm; the moon's light is cold. This alone tells us that the moon does not reflect the light of the sun.

Why have astronauts not returned to the moon since 1972? The answer is they

never went there to start with and they never will. NASA and every other space program governmental or private know it's impossible to travel to outer space. The concept of outer space was created by the mathematics of curvature and fueled by Hollywood, Disneyland, and heliocentric propagators like Neil DeGrasse Tyson and Michio Kaku. Flat Earthers refuse to succumb to the delusion.

Edward Hendrie, "The Greatest Lie on Earth, Proof that our World is not a Moving Globe"

<https://www.flatearth-moon-phases.com/>

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=JJIVdvUN0lg>

Hi,  
neighbor!!



Back at cha!  
What's your  
newest phase?



## CHAPTER 10

### GRAVITY ON THE FLAT EARTH


In the previous chapter, you learned that the moon is a self-luminescent translucent object much smaller and closer than the globe model predicts. The moon does not rotate on its axis or orbit the earth. It is a luminary in the dome that projects a cold light unlike the warm light of the sun.

Our next question is, how does gravity fit into the flat earth model? First, let's take a look at the theory of gravity, which by the way, is only a theory.

Everyone has heard of Sir Isaac Newton, who evidently had a thing for apple trees. In 1687 with his work "Principia," Newton explained gravity in terms of the force that keeps planets in their orbits around the sun, and the moon orbiting the earth. This unseen and unproved force also explained why planets affect each other in terms of their position in the solar system.

Then, along comes Albert Einstein in the early 20<sup>th</sup> century with his theory of general relativity. Rather than the force described by Newton, Einstein postulates that the effects of gravitation can be defined in terms of spacetime curvature. (Of course, curvature!) In this scenario, spacetime is curved by

matter. (See Figure 44)  
Figure 44



By the way, spacetime according to Siri on my iPhone is the concepts of

time in three-dimensional space regarded as fused in a four-dimensional continuum. I'm glad she cleared that up!

Out of this theory of general relativity popped the Einstein field equations. According to Wikipedia, “ The [Einstein field equations](#) are a set of ten [nonlinear, differential equations](#). The solutions of the field equations are the components of the [metric tensor](#) of spacetime. A metric tensor describes the geometry of spacetime. The geodesic paths for a spacetime are calculated from the metric tensor.”

This Wikipedia explanation is crystal clear in that the theory of gravity is explained by equations and that the given underlying absolute is spacetime. And, let us not forget that curvature is the foundation upon which relativity and its component of gravity is built.

But, what if curvature is an illusion? In that case, so is gravity. In February of 2016 a group of scientists announced that they had recorded the sound of two black holes colliding a billion light years away. (Um, I thought sound could not travel through the vacuum of space, and to think, it traveled a billion light years!) Scientists concluded that this phenomenon is the first direct evidence of gravitational waves.

Einstein predicted a century ago that these ripples in the fabric of spacetime, that is, gravitational waves, had to exist. This incredible discovery completes Einstein's vision of the universe in which space and time are interwoven and dynamic, that is producing motion in its various forms. (See Figure 45)




Figure 45

What all of these equations and intricate illustrations boil down to is that we

are continually being fed fantasies that are mere theory and in our real world cannot be proven. Off the cuff pop quiz: how many fantasies can you detect in Figure 45?

In the flat earth model, gravity does not exist. If you have come across the ridiculous concept that on the flat earth we keep in contact with the ground beneath us because the earth is perpetually ascending upward, toss that piece of disinformation in the nearest landfill. You have already learned that the earth is flat and *motionless*.

So, in that event, what is the “force” that governs our stationary plane on which we live? It is electromagnetism. (See Chapter 4) I invite you to further research “Electric Universe.”

In the flat earth model, the sun derives its energy through electricity rather than nuclear fusion. We already know that the dome that covers our flat earth is electromagnetic. Electromagnetic fields govern the flat earth both underneath the land and oceans and overhead via the firmament that covers and protects us.

It is entirely conceivable that the ancients understood this geocentric energy and harnessed it. The pyramids and obelisks of ancient Egypt may have played a significant role in producing energy from the earth.

We do know that there is no torch residue in the monuments on the Giza plateau and in the tombs in other locations along the Nile. This phenomenon has been explained in terms of strategically placed mirrors that reflected the sunlight. But, the real explanation is that the ancient Egyptians utilized electricity and that their power source was in all likelihood related to the electromagnetic properties



of the earth. (See Figure 46)



Figure 46

In more modern times, the brilliant and eccentric Nikola Tesla (1856-1943) worked doggedly on his theory that free energy could be produced via the electromagnetic properties of the earth. Tesla's goal was to broadcast electricity through the ground without wires. Had he been more of a businessman, he probably would have been successful in financing this endeavor.

Instead Tesla's dream of a prototype tower that would generate wireless power was shattered when his financing was cut off before construction was completed. Underground construction beneath the tower included a shaft with a stairway that descended 120 feet. Beneath the stairway, 16 iron pipes descended 300 feet into the earth. The concept was that the current transmitted through these pipes would connect to the earth's electromagnetic energy.

This phenomenal project known as Wardenclyffe Tower was ultimately meant to provide everyone in the world with free energy. (See Figure 47) But, free was not in the vocabulary of Tesla's investors. Ultimately, the tower was destroyed to pay off Tesla's debts.




Figure 47

This same greedy oligarchy is responsible for perpetuating the mythology of the heliocentric universe. If the true nature of the world on which we live were revealed, the powerful energy embedded in the ground beneath our feet and the dome surrounding it would find an avenue of discovery.

Instead we continue decade after decade and century after century to pay through the nose for fossil fuel and all of its polluting products, including plastic and a myriad of other oil-based inventions. Meanwhile, anything that even smells of possibly trespassing on this repugnant scenario, is quickly and irrevocably swept under the proverbial rug.

It's unfortunate that Elon Musk doesn't put his billions into the modern equivalent of a Tesla tower instead of sending a Tesla car into space, something we know is totally unachievable. But, wait, we are talking about a member of the oligarchic elite! And, isn't it ironic that he has linked the name Tesla to a company ostensibly focused on conquering space in the private sector. How many times I wonder has the original Tesla rolled over in his grave?

Besides electromagnetism, air density and pressure are contributing factors that keep us "glued" securely to the earth. We are living in a pressurized bubble. Our atmosphere is held in by the dome, and does not dissipate into an endless vacuum.

Air pressure and density decreases as you leave sea level for higher altitudes. For example, the air density at the top of Mt. Everest is 35% that at sea level. At the top of Mt. McKinley in Alaska the air density is about 48% that at sea level.

It would appear that the electromagnetism of the earth is stronger at sea level. Certainly, the answer is not the pull of the mysterious force of gravity, which on our flat earth is nonexistent.

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Isaac\\_Newton](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Isaac_Newton)

<https://www.biography.com/people/isaac-newton-9422656>

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/History\\_of\\_gravitational\\_theory](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/History_of_gravitational_theory)

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Albert\\_Einstein](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Albert_Einstein)

<https://www.space.com/17661-theory-general-relativity.html>

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Einstein\\_field\\_equations](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Einstein_field_equations)

<https://www.dreamstime.com/illustration/einstein.html>

<http://www.crystalinks.com/djed.html>

<https://www.electricuniverse.info/electricuniverse-theory/>

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Nikola\\_Tesla](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Nikola_Tesla)

<https://steemit.com>


<https://www.space.com/39633-spacex-tesla-roadster-starman-final-photo.htm>

<https://www.chegg.com/homework-help/questions-and-answers/air-density-top-mount-everest-heverest-8850-m-3500-air-density-sea-level-air-pressure-top--q3363594>

<https://www.space.com/39612-spacex-starman-tesla-roadster-live-views.html>

<http://snippits-and-slappits.blogspot.com/2012/07/remembering-genius-born-july-10th-1856.html>

<http://yourwallpapers0.blogspot.com/2012/10/clouds-wallpaper.html>



## CHAPTER 11

### TIDES ON THE FLAT EARTH

In the previous chapter, you learned that gravity does not exist and that the dominant force on the flat earth that subs for gravity is electromagnetism coupled with air pressure and density. But, what about tides? If there is no gravity, how do tides work on the flat earth model?

First, let us look at tides in the heliocentric universe. We are told that tides occur due to the gravitational influence of the moon, and to a lesser extent the sun. The rotation of the earth also affects tides. The intricacies of this theory include the shape of the coastline and the depth and topography of the ocean.

The phases of the moon also affect the tides. When there is a full moon or a new moon, the sun reinforces the tidal force of the moon, and the tidal range is at its maximum. This tidal maximum is known as the “spring tide” in the sense of springing forth. At this time, you have higher tides and lower water levels when the tide goes out and stronger tidal currents.

During the first quarter and third quarter when the sun and the moon are separated by 90 degrees, the solar tidal force partially cancels the moon’s tidal force. At this time the tidal range is at its minimum and is called the neap tide, meaning “without power.” At this time, tides are less extreme. There occurs about a seven-day interval between spring tides and neap tides. (See Figure 48)




Figure 48

As I look at this heliocentric explanation for tides, I can’t help but wonder how it is that the moon has more influence over the tides than the sun. Haven’t we been told that the sun is 400 times the size of the moon, but because it is 93 million miles away (approximately 400 times as far from earth), it appears to be

the same size? But, yet we are told that the moon's gravity primarily causes the tides.


And, what about the gravitational force of the earth which supposedly is strong enough to keep the moon orbiting around it? I wonder why it is that the earth's gravitational field doesn't counter the gravity of the moon. It would seem logical that at the very least the gravity of the earth would cancel out the gravity of the moon and we wouldn't have tides.

Since we already know that it cannot be gravity that causes the tides, let's ask ourselves how tides work in the electromagnetic universe. We know that the firmament or dome that covers the earth is electromagnetic, and that the earth itself is electromagnetic, and that this property of the earth was known by the ancients and visionaries like Nikola Tesla.

On the other hand, water is naturally diamagnetic, meaning that it repels a magnetic field. The salt water in the oceans is a natural conductor of electrical currents. Fresh water in lakes and streams is not, and here is the main reason that tides occur only minimally in fresh bodies of water. Pure water, such as distilled water, is a natural insulator, but once you start adding salt, the properties change.

Salts are ionic compounds and have both negatively charged ions and positively charged ions. In water the ions essentially cancel each other out so that the solution is electrically neutral. However, once these ions are present in water, you have a medium by which electricity can be conducted.

The electromagnetism that influences the tides originates not only from the sun and the moon, located within the electromagnetic dome, but from the earth itself. Electromagnetic fields course through the ground beneath our feet. The ancient concept of earth energy, or what has become known as "ley lines" may be directly related to the electromagnetic energy field of the earth. (See Figure



49)  
Figure 49

Inasmuch as we live in an electric/electromagnetic universe, the flat earth is governed by the energies inherent therein. Energy within the earth and energy without, such as the luminaries, relates to electromagnetism rather than gravity. We cannot know what is underneath the flat earth any more than we can know exactly what is above the dome discovered by Admiral Byrd in the mid-twentieth century.

In the flat earth model, tides and many other phenomena attributed to gravity are defined by the “electric universe.” Remember that relativity and other theories based on mathematical constructs rather than observation are purely speculative.

Although scientists love to build a house of cards on paper, their equations are fantasies rather than reality. Take out the mythical curvature and the house of cards tumbles. Curvature is the lead domino in the intricate string of heliocentric theory. Knock it over and the spinning ball cascade begins to fall step by step. (See Figure 50)

Curvature




Figure 50

We can conclude that tides are caused by the electromagnetism below our feet in combination with the electromagnetism of the dome above us. The construct that tides are primarily the result of the moon's gravity is just one more contrivance attributable to the curvature coffee klatch.

<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Tide>

[https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=\\_pauQitNEM0](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=_pauQitNEM0)

<https://futuremaps.com/blog/ley-lines>

<https://www.123rf.com/stock-photo/domino.html>





## CHAPTER 12 ASTRONOMY ON THE FLAT EARTH

In the previous chapter, you learned that since gravity does not exist, the tides on the flat earth are caused by electromagnetism. The reason that tides occur in bodies of salt water rather than fresh water lakes is due to the electrical

conductivity of salt.

Now let's take up the topic of astronomy on the flat earth. You may wonder how to reconcile the observatories found all over the world with the flat earth theory. Isn't it a fact that you can look through a telescope and see planets and stars and comets and asteroids and any other object that might be out there traveling through the void of outer space?

Let's say that you visit the Lowell Observatory in Flagstaff, Arizona. In 1930 Pluto was discovered here. Today you will find a variety of different telescopes designed for specific astronomical observations. One of them is the Discovery Channel Telescope.

The astronomers at Lowell Observatory conduct research that covers not only the solar system, but the search for near-earth asteroids, an ongoing survey of the Kuiper Belt (the outer reaches of the solar system in which Pluto is located), the search for planets around other suns, investigation of star formation, and many other observations in distant galaxies. Lowell and other observatories around the world provide us with the heliocentric picture of our universe. But, what exactly does this mean?

We are presented with an intricate scenario of the universe going back about 15 billion years. From a nebulous entity labeled a singularity, our universe was born, exploded outward and ultimately evolved into what we see today.

Gaseous nebula formed, stars evolved, planets coalesced, galaxies took various shapes, and - voila – here we are in the Goldilocks zone of our mid-life sun on a blue marble that is probably an oblate spheroid but looks perfectly spherical in the NASA photographs. Oh, and let's not forget that our medium-sized star with all its appendages is located in the outer arm of our typical spiral galaxy, called the Milky Way. (See Figure 51)




Figure 51

From this model of our universe, our galaxy is nothing special to speak of, our sun is just your average medium-sized star, our planet is pretty much like countless other rock-type spinning balls, and we sentient organisms that occupy our nothing special rock are, let's face it, in all probability nothing special ourselves.

We evolved from an organic soup millions of years ago, and somehow ended up as a hairless ape with a brain that learned how to build telescopes and perpetuate heliocentrism even after certain oligarchic, power-mongering trolls discovered their house of cards rested on a treacherous foundation of fantasy tainted with corruption and greed. And, in all of this, no one can figure out where this fantastic singularity originated.

So, ask yourselves, where is the proof of all of this? I invite you to ruminate over the Einstein equations below (if you have a magnifying glass). (See Figure 52)

## Curvature and the Einstein Equation

This is the *Mathematica* notebook *Curvature and the Einstein Equation* available from the book website. From a given metric  $g_{\alpha\beta}$ , it computes the components of the following: the inverse metric,  $g^{\lambda\sigma}$ , the Christoffel symbols or affine connection,

$$\Gamma^{\lambda}{}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} g^{\lambda\sigma} (\partial_{\mu} g_{\sigma\nu} + \partial_{\nu} g_{\sigma\mu} - \partial_{\sigma} g_{\mu\nu}),$$

( $\partial_{\alpha}$  stands for the partial derivative  $\partial/\partial x^{\alpha}$ ), the Riemann tensor,

$$R^{\lambda}{}_{\mu\nu\sigma} = \partial_{\nu} \Gamma^{\lambda}{}_{\mu\sigma} - \partial_{\sigma} \Gamma^{\lambda}{}_{\mu\nu} + \Gamma^{\eta}{}_{\mu\sigma} \Gamma^{\lambda}{}_{\eta\nu} - \Gamma^{\eta}{}_{\mu\nu} \Gamma^{\lambda}{}_{\eta\sigma},$$

the Ricci tensor

$$R_{\mu\nu} = R^{\lambda}{}_{\mu\lambda\nu},$$

the scalar curvature,

$$R = g^{\mu\nu} R_{\mu\nu},$$

and the Einstein tensor,

$$G_{\mu\nu} = R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} R.$$

You must input the covariant components of the metric tensor  $g_{\mu\nu}$  by editing the relevant input line in this *Mathematica* notebook. You may also wish to change the names of the coordinates. Only the nonzero components of the above quantities are displayed as the output. All the components computed are in the *coordinate basis* in which the metric was specified.

### ■ Clearing the values of symbols:

First clear any values that may already have been assigned to the names of the various objects to be calculated. The names of the coordinates that you will use are also cleared.

```
In[1]:= Clear[coord, metric, inversemetric,
          affine, riemann, ricci, scalar, einstein, x, \theta, \phi, t]
```

### ■ Setting the dimension:

The dimension **n** of the spacetime (or space) must be set:

```
In[2]:= n = 4
Out[2]= 4
```

### ■ Defining a list of coordinates:

The example given here is the Schwarzschild metric. The coordinate choice of Schwarzschild is appropriate for this spherically symmetric spacetime.

Figure 52

Ok, now that you have looked at the “proof” of heliocentrism in its most convoluted form, let’s dissect this a bit. Over a hundred years ago Albert Einstein came up with the concept that gravity is a warping of spacetime, meaning that matter causes the spacetime around it to curve, and that this curvature of spacetime determines how matter moves. I know you just can’t wait to see the equation that links the distribution of matter to the curvature of space. (See Figure 53)

Curvature of space

Distribution of mass/energy

$$G_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{8\pi G}{c^4} T_{\alpha\beta}$$

Some constants

The diagram shows the Einstein field equation enclosed in a red rectangular box. Three arrows point from external text labels to parts of the equation: one from 'Curvature of space' to the  $G_{\alpha\beta}$  term, one from 'Distribution of mass/energy' to the  $T_{\alpha\beta}$  term, and one from 'Some constants' to the fraction  $\frac{8\pi G}{c^4}$ .

Figure 53

Of course, only mathematicians and astrophysicists can understand and interpret these equations that “prove” spacetime curvature. However, anyone can look through a telescope. The question is what are you actually seeing?

Well, the thing that is a given on the flat earth model is that you are looking at the firmament/dome that covers the entire earth. Anything that you see through an optical telescope or detect via infrared or radio waves or any other type of observatory instrument is observed through this firmament.

The sun, the moon, the planets, the stars, galaxies, you name it, are located within this firmament amid its multiple layers. We do not know exactly how the properties of the firmament/dome work. We don't have equations to explain it, unless you deduct any semblance of curvature from the existing equations that supposedly define our universe.

It is entirely possible that what scientists are looking at, interpreting, and defining is a hologram, one that is infinitely more sophisticated and expansive than our technological expertise can imagine. We cannot grasp the intellect responsible for creating and maintaining something on this scale. It is quite simply beyond our comprehension.

I remember reading an article in "Scientific American" magazine on the theory of a holographic universe. In 1997 a theoretical physicist Juan Maldacena proposed a model of the universe in which vibrating strings exist in nine dimensions of space, and one dimension of time. This intricate world of strings would be a hologram. According to the article, the real action of the universe would then play out in a simpler, flatter cosmos where there is no gravity. Um, sounds familiar!

Interestingly, Maldacena's theory was positively received by his fellow physicists because it supported string theory and solved inconsistencies between quantum physics and Einstein's theory of gravity. This was a mathematical Rosetta stone for meshing quantum physics with relativity. (See also Nature magazine December 11, 2013) Modern string theory dates back to 1968, over a decade after Albert Einstein passed away. Einstein had spent his last years trying to come up with the theory of everything, and the holographic universe could be the key that he never found.

It is conceivable that what astronomers view through their telescopes is a hologram. That does not necessarily mean that our flat earth and everything on it, including ourselves, is a hologram. Our dome could serve as the perfect medium for viewing the hologram of stars, planets, galaxies, black holes, and other celestial objects. (See Figure 54)



Figure 54

Of course, scientists postulate that the entire universe, including ourselves could be a hologram, albeit a sophisticated, complex matrix beyond our current level of comprehension. Studying the background radiation originating with the “Big Bang” and taking measurements of cosmic ray particles, astrophysicists claim the results lend credence that we live in a computer simulation.

Basically, everything that we experience as three dimensional and our conception of time would be encoded on a two-dimensional surface. This would mimic, for example, the hologram on a credit card. From our perspective, the objects we see and feel are real. Since Einstein’s theory of relativity falls apart at the quantum level, a holographic universe could reconcile the two. (See Figure 55)




Figure 55

For several years I’ve played a computer game called Sims 4. Sims stands for simulation. In this highly sophisticated and complex game, you:

Create your Sims in meticulous detail: sex, age, skin color, hair color and style, eye color and shape, size (obese to thin), and whether the Sim is human, alien, or vampire.

- Dress your Sims in fashions which run the gamut of style and type, add jewelry, and apply makeup of all kinds.
- Select the personality characteristics for your Sims, including life goals.
- Choose the voice tone for your Sims and how they will walk.
- Decide on the relationships in a family of Sims.
- Decide in which region your Sims will live, pick out a lot, and build on it, furnish your home in whatever styles and colors you pick, even select characteristics for the lot you build on. Or choose a house from the gallery unfurnished or furnished.
- Choose what pets your Sims will have and create your cats and dogs as you do your Sims, including breed, color and markings, collars and other clothing items, and personality traits.
- Dress your Sims according to the seasons, spring, summer, fall, and winter.
- Start a business, choose a career path and go to work, go to restaurants and bars, take vacations to other areas, and join clubs.
- Participate in a variety of activities and entertainment, including video games and television, jogging, lifting weights and running on treadmills, bowling, horseshoes, table games, swimming.
- Learn skills like cooking, bartending, playing musical instruments, singing, dancing, karaoke, painting, gardening.
- Prepare meals and learn to cook, or hire a butler to do it for you.
- Enjoy spa treatments and give spa treatments to other Sims.
- Meet other Sims, date, fall in love, get married, enjoy sex and have children or adopt children, and raise the children from baby to young adult.
- Grow old through the stages of baby, toddler, child, teen, young adult, and elderly.
- Die and become a ghost or live forever.
- See Figure 56, a screenshot of a family I created with two young adults, their cats, and the butler in the background.





Figure 56

I've no doubt left things out in the list above, but, the concept is obviously to illustrate how we could be comparable to the Sims, unaware that our reality is constructed by a power far greater than we are. Either the universe at large projects as a hologram from the layers of the dome, or the flat earth we live on and ourselves are also a part of the hologram. Make no mistake, we did not get here by accident. I create my Sims and the world they live in. Some awesome power created me, you, and the world we live in.

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lowell\\_Observatory](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lowell_Observatory)

[https://www.huffingtonpost.com/2015/03/12/milky-way-size-bigger\\_n\\_6849548.html](https://www.huffingtonpost.com/2015/03/12/milky-way-size-bigger_n_6849548.html)

<http://web.physics.ucsb.edu/~gravitybook/math/curvature.pdf>

<https://www.scientificamerican.com/article/universe-really-is-a-holo/>

<http://www.theglimpse.com/are-we-living-inside-a-holographic-universe>

<https://www.wired.co.uk/article/our-universe-is-a-hologram>

<https://www.wired.co.uk/article/universe-computer-simulation>


<https://www.southampton.ac.uk/news/2017/01/holographic-universe.page>

Sims™ 4 screenshot



I know for a  
fact I'm not a  
simulation!

I'm just saying I  
read an article  
on the theory.



## CHAPTER 13

### METEORS AND METEORITES ON THE FLAT EARTH

In the previous chapter, you learned that astronomy on the flat earth may be something entirely different than what we have been led to believe. There is the distinct possibility that what astronomers see through their massive telescopes is a hologram, a theory entertained by theoretical physicists and one that fits into our flat earth model.

But, what about meteors and meteorites? Aren't these solid objects like the one that killed the dinosaurs? Since meteors are objects that enter our atmosphere from so-called outer space, where do they originate? If the meteor survives to reach the ground, it is labeled a meteorite. We can find craters in various parts of the world that are attributed to meteorites.

Let's take a look at one of the craters that is most familiar to Americans, Meteor Crater in Arizona. This bowl-shaped hole in the ground is almost one mile wide and more than 550 feet deep. It is an astounding 2.4 miles in circumference. (See Figure 57)



Figure 57

According to the very best scientific analysis, Meteor Crater was blown out of the ground about 50,000 years ago by an asteroid about 130 feet wide and traveling 26,000 miles per hour. It is described as the world's best-preserved impact crater.

Originally it was thought that the crater had resulted from volcanic steam. Then, in 1903 Daniel Barringer, a successful businessman, noticed the abundance of iron pieces in the area and theorized that an iron meteorite had crashed into the site. Barringer staked a claim to acreage which included the crater with the goal of mining the iron. For 27 years he drilled multiple shafts up to 1.8 miles deep and found no large pieces of iron. Instead, it was concluded that the meteor had shattered on impact.

This meteoric crater scenario is typical of crater sites throughout the earth:

- **Thousands or millions of years old**
- **Fragments and relatively small pieces of the meteorite found**
- **Lack of evidence that the meteorite caused significant collateral damage in addition to the crater itself**

Interestingly, meteorites in modern times, such as the Tunguska event in Siberia in 1908, have managed to avoid populated areas for the most part. The Tunguska explosion flattened 830 square miles of forest. The energy of the air

burst is estimated at 1000 times greater than the bomb dropped on Hiroshima. Yet, not a single death has ever been confirmed. Had this event occurred over a heavily populated city, such as New York, the devastation and loss of human life would have been the greatest catastrophe in recorded history.

Up until 2013, the cause of the Tunguska event had not been conclusively confirmed. But, in that year, a group of scientists obtained microsamples from a peat bog considered to be located near the center of the explosion. Analysis revealed similarity to minerals found at Meteor Crater in Arizona. Tunguska is now considered to be the result of a meteor entering the earth's atmosphere at a low angle and exploding prior to impact. One would think there would have been fragments at other locations besides the peat bog. After all it did flatten 80 million trees! (See Figure 58)



Figure 58

But, what about the highly publicized Chelyabinsk event of 2013? Didn't this meteor explode over a populated area? Indeed, it shattered windows in 7,000 buildings and some 1700 people were injured, mostly from the exploded glass. Again, the location of the event was Russia and there was no meteor crater.

There appears to be a pattern here:

- **Meteor craters are prehistoric.**

- **Modern meteors tend to explode in the atmosphere prior to touchdown.**
- **For an inexplicable reason, major meteoric events tend to happen in the area of Russia.**
- **Large fragments of meteorites are hard to find around craters whether prehistoric or modern.**

It's time to consider that the origin of the earth's ancient meteor craters may after all come from under the earth. These sources include, but are not limited to: volcanoes, sink holes, steam explosions, and gas explosions such as methane. Volcanic craters look suspiciously similar to so-called meteor craters. Below are two volcanic craters. Compare Figure 59 to Figure 57.



Figure 59

It might also be mentioned that periodic meteor showers are observed in the sky, but none tend to fall to earth. All zoom across our field of vision at the same generally low angle. No one has ever observed a meteor zooming through the sky at 90 degrees.

Meteor showers are described by science as pieces of space debris speeding at 20 to 45 miles per second that slam into our atmosphere at 50 to 75 miles

altitude. The major showers, such as Perseid, occur annually and can be predicted. (See Figure 60)




Figure 60

But, are these predictable light shows in the sky actually the result of space debris? We have already learned that we live in an electric universe and that the dome over our atmosphere is electromagnetic. These harmless streaks of light are projected or produced by the firmament.

We cannot know the purpose of these periodic electrical bursts. But we do know that if our earth is orbiting our sun at 67,000 miles per hour and our sun with its orbiting planets is traveling in a spiral trajectory around the galaxy (Milky Way) at 500,000 miles per hour, our solar system is in a different place in space each year. Movement is key in the heliocentric model.

According to scientists, we are hurtling through the vacuum of space at breakneck speed. Mysteriously, we keep coming across the eminently predictable meteor showers just waiting for us no matter where we are in the galaxy. Shouldn't we encounter new and exciting meteor showers each year inasmuch as our sun and its minions are forever trekking through space?

But, objects do fall from the sky and sometimes these objects create loud explosive sounds and may even cause an earthquake, such as the event in Michigan in January 2018. But, there is no solid proof that this event was caused by a space object.

On August 24, 2018 the History Channel aired an episode of "Ancient Aliens" entitled, "They Came from the Sky." One segment describes the



relationship between a native American tribe and a large meteorite riddled with holes. Members of the tribe believed the rock had fallen from the sky and held curative powers imbued in the water that accumulated in the crevasses. (See Figure 61)




Figure 61

Subscribers to the ancient alien theory postulate that meteorites of this nature may have been maneuvered to the earth, and have originally harbored technology. Supporting this hypothesis is the absence of a crater or any signs of impact.

In further support of the theory of intentionally maneuvered meteorites is the case of the 22-ton Mundrabilla meteorite discovered in Australia in 1911. In March 2018, scientists at the University of California in San Diego exposed fragments of the meteor to extreme heat and pressure. This experiment led to the discovery of traces of low temperature superconductivity. Superconductors harness magnetic force to levitate.

Ancient alien theorists speculate that the Mundrabilla meteorite may have been constructed with advanced technology. Perhaps it was originally part of a spacecraft's propulsion system. Of course, we know that it would have reached our flat earth via a portal, either natural or artificially constructed. A slice of the Mundrabilla meteorite is pictured in Figure 62.




Figure 62

In December 2016 in Russia near the Siberian tundra (Russia again?), geologists unearthed tiny fragments of meteorites that contained a previously unknown mineral dubbed a quasicrystal. Unlike ordinary crystals, this new mineral never reproduces the same pattern of structure. Theoretically, this type of crystal should not exist in nature because it would require a tremendous amount of energy and pressure to formulate, conditions that normally are confined to laboratory experimentation.

Like the Mundrabilla meteorite, ancient alien enthusiasts speculate that these quasicrystals were artificially planted, and that their presence in the rock indicates a technological purpose. Perhaps one day, as our technology advances, we will discover exactly what that purpose is.

Of course, we have to consider that these so-called meteorites did not come through interdimensional portals, but rather have always existed on our flat earth. There are many unknowns in the natural elements of our world. The one thing we do know is that the “Asteroid Belt” between Mars and Jupiter is not the source of these “extraterrestrial” objects.

NASA currently is conceptualizing using asteroids as space vehicles perhaps the same way ancient aliens supposedly did. Obviously, the bigwigs at NASA know for a fact this is impossible. This absurd initiative is called RAMA (Reconstituting Asteroids into Mechanical Automata ). Wonder how long it took a NASA stooge to come up with that reverse acronym.

Of course, the choice of the name is from Arthur C. Clarke’s science fiction

novel entitled, “Rendezvous with RAMA,” first published in 1973. Clarke and NASA had a longstanding relationship. It’s a tossup as to whether Clarke or NASA hold the trophy for creativity in science fiction.

The bottom line is that so-called space objects cannot fall to the earth because of our protective dome. However, we know that these objects may have been transported by aliens through interdimensional portals, if indeed they have not been here on the flat earth all along. (See Chapter 7) Certainly, at any given time, there are various types of aircraft, including military, that fly at high altitudes. Testing of weapons, for example, is one possibility for “space debris.” Another source may originate from the testing of experimental drones.

Theoretically we have objects in low earth orbit (not proven) that could account for incoming debris. It is definite beyond doubt that whatever these meteorites are, they did not penetrate the dome over our flat earth.

<https://aplanetruth.info/2015/08/30/annual-meteor-showers-and-a-rapidly-spinning-ball-earth/>

**Flat Earth: "Meteors, Meteorites, Comets, Craters" & The Dome** <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1KPYgZmWDls>

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Tunguska\\_event](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Tunguska_event)

<https://arstechnica.com/science/2013/06/mystery-solved-meteorite-caused-tunguska-devastation/>

<https://www.skyandtelescope.com/astronomy-news/best-bet-meteor-showers-for-2018/>

<https://www.skyandtelescope.com/astronomy-news/chelyabinsk-mega-meteor-status-report/>

<https://aplanetruth.info/2015/08/30/annual-meteor-showers-and-a-rapidly-spinning-ball-earth/>

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Galactic\\_year](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Galactic_year)

[https://simple.wikipedia.org/wiki/Volcanic\\_crater](https://simple.wikipedia.org/wiki/Volcanic_crater)

[https://www.myworldofphotos.com/display\\_photo\\_large.php?function=DisplayAttribute&id=50](https://www.myworldofphotos.com/display_photo_large.php?function=DisplayAttribute&id=50)

<https://www.valuewalk.com/2018/01/michigan-meteor-earthquake-detroit/>

<https://www.news18.com/news/tech/nasa-funding-project-rama-to-turn-asteroids-into-spaceships-1805741.html>

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mundrabilla\\_\(meteorite\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mundrabilla_(meteorite))

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mundrabilla\\_\(meteorite\)/media/File:Mundrabilla\\_meteorite\\_NMNH\\_slice.jpg](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mundrabilla_(meteorite)/media/File:Mundrabilla_meteorite_NMNH_slice.jpg)

<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Quasicrystal>

<https://www.space.com/11552-photos-halleys-comet-images-astronomy.html>

I'm Halley. I see Earth  
every 75 years because I'm  
programmed in the  
Firmament.



## CHAPTER 14 EXTRATERRESTRIALS ON THE FLAT EARTH

In the previous chapter, you learned that meteors and meteorites are explained either by the electromagnetic properties of our flat earth dome or by objects falling from planes or drones or originating from weapons or other types

of tests. Moreover, prehistoric craters are the result of underground activity. The dome over our flat earth is not periodically penetrated by space debris.

But, what about the reports of extraterrestrial vehicles, including the 1947 event at Roswell, New Mexico? How can “aliens” be explained on the flat earth? Since space travel is not possible on our flat earth, that must mean that extraterrestrials cannot visit earth from outer space.

The fact is that it is entirely possible for extraterrestrials to visit our flat earth, and conversely for us to visit them. Rest assured that you can believe in alien visitation and the flat earth at the same time. The one fact we can be certain of is that aliens do not get here from traveling through the so-called vacuum of space.

How, then, do extraterrestrials get here? The answer is by interdimensional portals. In 2011 the FBI declassified documents, including a report on extraterrestrials written in 1947 by a special agent/lieutenant colonel. The identity of this agent remained anonymous because of “national security.” This agent had studied the UFO phenomena for years.

According to these declassified documents, the earth has been visited by aliens from other dimensions. The documents state that these aliens originate from an “ethereal plane” which coexists with our physical universe.

We know that parallel universes and multi-dimensions is a favorite topic of theoretical physicists. (See Chapter 12) It is the very foundation of string theory into which the holographic universe sandwiches quite snugly. In fact, the Large Hadron Collider, the largest particle accelerator and single machine in the world, is smashing subatomic particles at ever increasing speeds, creating weird weather patterns and even possibly opening portals above the earth. (See Figure



63)  
Figure 63

The photo above was taken by a nature photographer who was actually capturing on film a dark storm in the Netherlands. It has been speculated that this image is a portal, and that perhaps it could be the optical effect of some sort of interdimensional craft entering our space (dimension).

Throughout the world are found ancient sites that are possible portals for interdimensional travel. The one that comes to my mind as the best known is Gate of the Gods in Peru. (See Figure 64)



Figure 64

There are actually two doorways, one 22' by 22' and one 6.5' high in the middle of the base, which has a small, round indentation on the right. Investigators determined that the right-sized disk-shaped object inserted into the indentation would be held in place by the surrounding rock. Inca legend says that the larger door was for the gods whereas the smaller door was for mortals to pass through.

In this case of the Gate of the Gods in Peru, it is my opinion and many others that extraterrestrials visited the earth by this portal and had developed the technology to activate the portal from either side at will. It's too bad we haven't found the disk that inserts into the indentation and opens the gate.

The ancient Sumerian gods also traveled by these interdimensional portals or "stargates." For example, one well known seal depicts a Sumerian god as he transports from his dimension into ours. The god is on a staircase and on each side are strange shimmering columns of water. (See Figure 65)






Figure 65

In the British Museum is a relief depicting the Sumerian king Ninurta at the entrance of a stargate. He appears to be pushing a button or built-in device with his right index finger. On his left arm he is wearing what looks suspiciously like



a modern “smart watch.” (See Figure 66)

Figure 66

But stargates did not disappear with the ancients. One theory concerning the explanation for strange happenings and disappearances in the Bermuda Triangle is the intermittent opening of portals to other dimensions such as parallel universes.

When I think of disappearances in the Bermuda Triangle, my thoughts

immediately jump to Flight 19 in December 1945. What makes this story so remarkable is that five planes on a training mission from Ft. Lauderdale, Florida disappeared without a trace. One rescue plane sent to search for the missing Avengers (bomber planes) also disappeared.

It's significant that the flight's leader, Lieutenant Charles C. Taylor, an experienced pilot, became convinced that his plane's compass was malfunctioning and that the planes were flying in the wrong direction. Compass malfunctioning is a common occurrence in reports of strange happenings in the Bermuda Triangle.

The remains of Flight 19 have never been found. The five planes and their crew simply vanished without a trace. The rescue plane with its 13 crewmen also disappeared.

There are many explanations for the strange occurrences in the Bermuda Triangle and other areas on the earth that seem to have similar disappearances. But, magnetic anomalies are most often reported even with flights or ships that make it through. This phenomenon lends credence to the theory that our electromagnetic universe creates natural portals to other dimensions.

And, of course, if ships and planes can travel through these portals, it makes sense that crafts from the other side can also come through. There are no doubt stable portals throughout the earth that extraterrestrials use on a regular basis. Clearly, alien entities in whatever form and our flat earth are not mutually exclusive.



[http://www.thelivingmoon.com/42stargate/03files/Sumerian\\_Gate.html](http://www.thelivingmoon.com/42stargate/03files/Sumerian_Gate.html)

<http://www.ufointernationalproject.com/sighting-reports/beings-are-visiting-us-from-other-dimensions-confirms-the-fbi/>

<https://www.thesun.co.uk/tech/1358274/jaw-dropping-photos-taken-above-cerns-large-hadron-collider-lead-to-wild-new-conspiracy-theories-and-prove-portals-are-opening/>

<https://home.cern/about>

<http://www.ufointernationalproject.com/ufo-photos-and-videos/proof-of-dimensional-portals-above-earth/>

<http://www.ufointernationalproject.com/ufo-photos-and-videos/proof-of-dimensional-portals-above-earth/>

<http://listverse.com/2016/05/20/10-ancient-sites-that-might-be-stargates-portals-and-wormholes/>

[http://www.thelivingmoon.com/42stargate/03files/Sumerian\\_Gate.html](http://www.thelivingmoon.com/42stargate/03files/Sumerian_Gate.html)

<https://www.history.com/news/the-mysterious-disappearance-of-flight-19>

<https://www.history.com/news/the-mysterious-disappearance-of-flight-19>

<http://dazzlingdivas-ladivas.blogspot.com/2011/04/photo-portret-mae-west.html>

[https://www.brainyquote.com/authors/mae\\_west](https://www.brainyquote.com/authors/mae_west)

## CHAPTER 15

### RELIGION AND THE FLAT EARTH

In the previous chapter, you learned that alien visitation on the flat earth is entirely possible. Evidence points toward interdimensional portals in ancient times as well as modern gateways either opened by extraterrestrial visitors or

natural phenomena.

Now it is time to look at religion as it relates to the flat earth. Although this treatise is not a religious work and is presented from a secular viewpoint, no study of the flat earth would be complete without mentioning how various religions have historically viewed the shape of the earth. The religion that I am most familiar with is Christianity, so let's take a look at what the Bible says about the flat earth.

Many advocates of heliocentrism will tell you that the Bible does not support the flat earth model. Now, the one thing that is certain is that the creator of our flat earth has always known that the spinning ball concept is both false and ridiculous. And, for those of you who believe that the ancient "gods," including Yahweh, were extraterrestrials, most definitely, as technologically advanced beings, these visitors would have knowledge of the shape of the earth. We already have learned that these other worldly visitors had to get here through portals, which they controlled, coming and going at will.

Let's consider the ancient Hebrews who purportedly wrote the books of the Bible, although the flood story resembles the accounts of the Sumerians, and many believe that the Hebrews actually inherited this legend from Mesopotamia. Suffice it to say that flood accounts are found in many ancient cultures throughout the world. It may very well be that ancient religious writings are to some extent a compilation of cultures that date back to the very beginning of mankind.

Let's take a look at Genesis and the creation account. We need to ascertain if "In the beginning..." supports the flat earth model. Unfortunately, this is not as straightforward as it sounds. The first thing we have to consider is which version of the Bible most accurately reflects what the ancients believed.

Edward Hendry in his work, "The Greatest Lie on Earth," subtitled "Proof that our World is Not a Moving Globe," points out brilliantly that modern translations of the Bible have intentionally corrupted texts in support of the heliocentric model. He especially cites the New International Version (NIV) as a primary example of altering the "word of God" because "the translators do not believe the word of God." I highly recommend Hendrie's meticulously researched work.

It is at this point that I decided to examine a version of the Bible whose

translators claim was rendered from the original languages and stand firmly on the accuracy as it reflects the true word of God. I am talking about the “New World Translation of the Holy Scriptures” published by Jehovah’s Witnesses. But, even here I discover that in the Genesis creation account, the word “firmament” has been replaced every single time with the word “expanse.”

The firmament of the Bible is the dome that covers the flat earth. This massive shield holds in our atmosphere and holds out the “waters above.” It also harbors the sun, moon, and stars. Simply put, firmament denotes solidity.

The word “expanse,” on the other hand, implies a “continuous surface.” Substituting “firmament” with “expanse” clearly fits into the heliocentric model. The earth’s atmosphere blends into the vacuum of space, and space expands infinitely in all directions.

The New World Bible Translation Committee simply could not get the globe concept out of their consciousness. Their translation of the scriptures had to coexist with science and substituting the word expanse for firmament gave their version an aspect of credibility it might otherwise lack. It brings to mind the old adage that “the devil works in mysterious ways.”

In actuality, the Genesis account of creation supports the flat earth model. For example, verses 14 through 17 clearly explains that God created the luminaries to be in the firmament. The sun, the moon, and the stars were all put in the firmament. That means these luminaries are not millions of years distant in outer space. They are located in the dome that covers our flat earth. (See Figure 67)




Figure 67

Now let's look at the Bible book of Joshua. In Joshua 10, verses 12 and 13, God causes both the sun and the moon to stand still until Israel defeats the Amorites. As Hendrie points out, God did not cause the earth to stop spinning. Had that been the case, the abrupt deceleration of a sphere spinning hundreds of miles an hour, would have been catastrophic for all living creatures.

But, why did God also stop the moon? The answer is to keep these two luminaries in sync in their journey above the surface of the flat earth. To the credit of the New World Translation of the Holy Scriptures, in Joshua 10, verse 12, Jehovah commanded the sun and the moon to "be motionless." And, in verse 13, "Accordingly the sun kept motionless, and the moon did stand still..."

There are many more Bible verses that support the flat earth model. But, how does the flat earth model fit in with other major religions?

In the Koran, the earth is described as stretched out like a carpet and the sky is described as a dome. (See Figure 68)




Figure 68

The Hindu religion has voluminous literature which, in the context of this treatise, would be too ponderous to explore. With that in mind, let's look at what the Vedic scriptures say in regard to the shape of the earth. According to the Vedic cosmology, the earth is a huge flat plane, much larger than the continents



with which we are familiar. There are multiple layered dimensions. (See Figure

69)



Figure 69

There is speculation that there exist more landmasses beyond the Antarctic ice wall. The Vedic cosmology would seem to confirm this. In the early 20<sup>th</sup> century an ancient flat earth map was discovered that had been stored in a Japanese Buddhist temple. This map reflects the Vedic concept of the flat earth. Whether this particular map is genuine or not, it illustrates the possibility that there may be more landmasses beyond the Antarctic wall. (See Figure 70) (See

also Chapter 2)




Figure 70

Clearly Buddhism literature to some extent reflects elements of Hinduism.



The authoritative word of Buddha incorporates a flat earth cosmology. The earth is described as stationary with the sun, moon, and stars traveling in circles over the surface. The waxing and waning of the moon are described in terms of the sun coming close (waning) or being further away (waxing). In the flat, stationary world of Buddha, there were four continents surrounding an hourglass shaped Mt. Meru atop which Indra, the king of the gods lived and could look down and see the sun, moon, and stars circling over the flat earth. (See Figure 71)



Figure 71

There are many cultures around the world whose ancient origins include a flat earth cosmology. Our purpose here was to provide a brief overview of the major religions of the world: Christianity (which for our purposes includes Judaism since we have dealt with the Hebrew scripture); Islam; Hinduism; and Buddhism. We have merely touched the surface. If all religions point to an omnipotent creator in whatever form, obviously this creator is and always has been the ultimate source of knowledge regarding the shape and makeup of the earth.

It is not due to ignorance that ancient cultures incorporated the flat earth concept into their religion. It is because this information was provided to them by whomever was teaching them about the world in which they lived. And, that teacher in whatever form knew the truth about the shape of the earth, and provided that truth to his proteges who then passed this knowledge down to their

progeny.

That truth is that we live on a flat, non-moving earth, the surface of which is surrounded and covered by an invisible dome. I believe that aliens visited the ancient earth through portals and that they taught the people they contacted many things, including the flat earth.

<https://arcticcompass.blogspot.com/2017/03/proof-that-earth-is-flat.html>

New World Translation of the Holy Scriptures, Watchtower Bible and Tract Society of New York, Inc.

The Greatest Lie on Earth, Edward Hendrie, 9<sup>th</sup> Edition 2018.

<https://arcticcompass.blogspot.com/2017/03/proof-that-earth-is-flat.html>

<https://www.slideshare.net/saarvaakan/13862451-flatearthkoran05of13thesolidskydome>

<https://www.quora.com/What-does-Hinduism-say-about-the-shape-of-the-Earth>

<http://www.krishna.com/universe-vedas>


<http://huayanzang.blogspot.com/2015/07/buddhisms-flat-earth-cosmology.html>

<http://www.waykiwayki.com/2015/05/flathearth-mount-meru-and-four-lands.html>

<http://truthrising.net/flathearth-1000-year-old-buddhist-map/>

<http://www.waykiwayki.com/2015/05/flathearth-mount-meru-and-four-lands.html>

<https://www.123rf.com/stock-photo/scroll.html?sti=lfw35sla855bdj6sr5j&mediapopup=32648255>



## CHAPTER 16

### THE FREEMASONS AND THE FLAT EARTH

In the previous chapter, you learned that the major religions of the world with which we are familiar incorporated a flat earth cosmology into their teachings. This ancient belief was not a sign of the lesser understanding of the

world in which they lived. Instead, it was a sign that the ancients learned their cosmology from their “god” or “gods,” who, without a doubt, knew the true shape of the earth.

Now let’s take a look at the Freemasons and their role in the heliocentric model. Masons originated with the medieval stonemason guilds in Scotland, England and France. They built Gothic cathedrals and were highly regarded as architects and skilled artisans.

In the 17<sup>th</sup> century the Mason lodges began admitting members who were not stonemasons, and the label Freemason came into use. The stage was set for the transformation of the Masons into a fraternity of philosophers, scientists and other learned men. Freemasonry became an exclusive, ritualistic social club for the discussion of the new sciences and philosophies of the so-called Age of Reason which defined the social/political atmosphere of 17<sup>th</sup> century Europe. Lodges followed in colonial America.

Throughout the nineteenth century Masonry expanded worldwide. Its universally known symbol is the square and compass, representing builders, architects and engineers. (See Figure 72)



Figure 72

Many Presidents and other political figures as well as scientists and astronauts have been Freemasons. Their influence throughout the history of the United

States is legendary and continues to this day. It is my belief that at least the highest degree of Freemasonry, the 33<sup>rd</sup> Degree, has knowledge that the earth is a flat plane.

Eric Dubay in his book, “The Flat Earth Conspiracy” states that the sun is placed at the center of Masonic lodges to represent the heliocentric lie that has been perpetuated for centuries. Historically the sun has been worshipped as the center of or place of importance in various religious cults. The Freemasons continue this tradition.

We can see Masonic symbols in our government, currency, and NASA. It is clear that this organization with its secrecy, especially at the 33<sup>rd</sup> degree, has and is still herding us toward their world view in which the average individual has no inherent value. Figure 73 is the 10<sup>th</sup> Anniversary NASA coin depicting in abundance Freemason imagery.



Figure 73

Let's take a look at the symbol of the United Nations and how it relates to the Freemasons. In Freemasonry there are 33 degrees (or steps up the ladder). The majority of Masons stop at degree 32. Evidently achieving the 33<sup>rd</sup> degree is by invitation only.

The United Nations logo was adopted December 7, 1946. (See Figure 74) Interestingly, the logo/flag depicts a flat earth (azimuthal equidistant projection) centered on the North Pole, divided into 33 sections and surrounded by olive branches. 33 Degrees = 33 Sections on the UN symbol. The United Nations building in New York City stands on land donated by John D. Rockefeller who just happened to be a 33<sup>rd</sup> degree Freemason.



Figure 74

NASA has historically incorporated Masonic symbols in mission patches and the names of the missions, in particular the Apollo flights. Ten years ago, I read a book by Richard C. Hoagland and Mike Bara entitled, "Dark Mission: The Secret History of NASA." Hoagland and Bara emphasize that NASA from its very inception came under the thumb of the Department of Defense.

Ostensibly NASA is a civilian entity subject to Congress and the American people. In reality, it is controlled by the Pentagon and the Executive branch of government. And, inherent in all of this is the preponderance of Freemasons in high positions and as astronauts, and the prevalence of Masonic symbolism throughout the organization and its activities.

Purportedly both Neil Armstrong and Buzz Aldrin took Masonic artifacts to the moon on Apollo 11, and performed a secret ceremony not broadcast to the



general public. Armstrong took his Masonic apron and Aldrin took his Masonic Flag. (See Figure 75)



Figure 75

Of course, we know that these ceremonies were not performed on the actual moon, but in the Nevada desert or Hollywood set. Still, the significance of these symbols to those in power at NASA and to the astronauts is self-evident.

There is evidence on which to base the assertion that at least the 33<sup>rd</sup>, and perhaps many at the 32<sup>nd</sup> degree level of Masonry, have knowledge of the true shape of the earth. I recommend checking out videos on You Tube. Search for “Flat Earth and Freemasons” and you will find high level Freemasons and their belief in the flat earth model. My favorite is Mark Sargent’s “32<sup>nd</sup> Degree Mason Talks Flat Earth, Parts 1 and 2.”

I must also mention here the Masonic scientists who have contributed to the heliocentric model from Copernicus, Columbus, Galileo, Kepler, Newton, Von Braun, and Hawking to today’s Neil De Grasse Tyson. Of course, there are many others. I recommend the video, “Flat Earth: Masonic ‘scientists’ Shaped our world.”

Freemasons for Dummies by Christopher Hodapp, 2013

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Flag\\_of\\_the\\_United\\_Nations](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Flag_of_the_United_Nations)

<http://www.un.org/en/sections/about-un/un-logo-and-flag>

The Flat Earth Conspiracy by Eric Dubay

[http://www.texemarrs.com/032003/eagle\\_has\\_landed.htm](http://www.texemarrs.com/032003/eagle_has_landed.htm)

Dark Mission: The Secret History of NASA by Richard C. Hoagland and Mike Bara

You Tube video by Mark Sargent, 3nd Degree Mason Talks Flat Earth, Parts 1 and 2

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=oxAxd2qzgZk>

[http://www.phoenixmasonry.org/masonicmuseum/sr\\_man\\_on\\_the\\_moon\\_medallion\\_1979.htm](http://www.phoenixmasonry.org/masonicmuseum/sr_man_on_the_moon_medallion_1979.htm)

<http://listverse.com/2012/11/21/top-10-scandalous-freemason-secrets/>

You would think a 33<sup>rd</sup>  
degree Mason could  
figure out how to keep  
the flag from flapping!





## CHAPTER 17

### THE ISS AND THE FLAT EARTH

In the previous chapter, you learned that Freemasons have played a major role in maintaining and perpetuating the heliocentric model. Members of the Masonic order have permeated and dominated our government and scientific institutions. NASA astronauts have purportedly traveled to the moon with their Masonic flag and apron packed in their suitcase. Subsequently they clandestinely performed ceremonies on the surface of the moon. Since we know the astronauts were in a Hollywood-type studio, all of this theatre smacks of comedy if it weren't presented as deadly serious.

Now let's take a look at another NASA invention, the International Space Station (ISS). The first component of the ISS was launched into low earth orbit in 1998 and the last pressurized module in 2011. More components will be added, and the station is expected to remain operational until 2028.

The purpose of the ISS is to serve as a laboratory for space experiments in various fields of science that run the gamut from biology to astrophysics. The underlying goal is research into the effects of zero gravity and exposure to the vacuum of space.

The ISS is a combo of pressurized modules, various external framework, and solar arrays. See Figure 76 for a fabulous CGI image of the ISS as viewed from some unknown external source and showing the curved earth in the background.




Figure 76

OK, we have an idea about the outside. Now let's take a look at the magnificent and meticulously organized inside of the ISS. (See Figure 77)



Figure 77

You may with good reason wonder how anyone in this module can know where anything is and can make repairs when something goes wrong. But, there is no reason to worry about these courageous astronauts because all of this confusing disarray is located somewhere in California.

I'm assuming that the designer of this hodgepodge figured it should look shabby enough that it would have to be real since an artificial construct would almost certainly have a more completed and organized aura. How in the world can you perform complex, precise laboratory experiments in such an environment?

As of this writing in 2018, NASA claims that ISS astronauts have

completed 212 spacewalks, 160 in US spacesuits and 52 in Russian spacesuits. (See Figure 78)



Figure 78

All of these extravehicular activities occurred while the space station is orbiting the earth at 17,150 miles per hour. That means one orbit only takes about an hour and a half. Below the space station, the earth is supposedly rotating at 1,000 miles per hour at the equator.

The ISS is currently 220 miles above the earth, but the plan is to use boosters to elevate it to 248 miles above the earth to save fuel in keeping it in orbit. So, let's take a look at the conditions these brave astronauts must endure when they leave the interior of their pressurized module:

- **Astronauts step outside to carry out experiments or make repairs on the organized exterior of the ISS. (See Figure 79)**
- **They are isolated in the vacuum of space protected by their spacesuits, which like the Apollo spacesuits, can stand up to this vacuum and whatever space debris might be flying around. There are theoretically millions of micro-meteors zipping along at up to 6,000 miles per hour, any one of which could penetrate an astronaut's spacesuit, resulting in instant death.**

- **They are tethered to the ISS, which is speeding along at over 17,000 miles per hour. One assumes they are dragged and therefore, the tether has to be as strong as steel to keep them in sync with the stampeding ISS. Some spacewalks have dispensed with the tether, and supposedly the astronauts have thrusters that keep them up with the ISS. Just think about personal thrusters that reach a speed of over 17,000 miles per hour. Would a person actually be able to survive that kind of accelerated momentum?**
- **They are expected to make these meticulous repairs or carry out their careful experimentation under the conditions of tremendous speed and danger. It's probably not a good idea for them to look down at the earth, since the combination of ISS speed and the rotation of the earth could quite conceivably cause disorientation, dizziness, vertigo, and high levels of anxiety. Throwing up in your helmet could result in serious complications.**
- **NASA claims to have never lost an astronaut in a spacewalk under what should be incredible conditions. The success of over 200 spacewalks is a phenomenon beyond comprehension. Figure 80 is a passable CGI of the 200<sup>th</sup> spacewalk. The outside of the ISS in this rendition looks like an unfinished camping trailer. Would you want to stay in space in that?**



Figure 79





Figure 80

ISS astronauts are trained for their space mission at the Neutral Buoyancy Lab in Houston. Here NASA has built a large-scale mockup of the ISS and placed it under 40 feet of water. The idea is to simulate zero gravity utilizing the buoyancy of water. The astronauts practice the same tasks underwater that they will perform later on the exterior of the ISS. Interestingly, bubbles have been detected in live ISS feeds.

Meanwhile, the video feeds from the ISS are often fraught with mistakes and faux pas that demonstrate that the astronauts are in a studio-type situation utilizing Hollywood techniques to simulate zero gravity. Astronaut Chris Cassidy accidentally slipped that they were in the western U.S., possibly California.

Harnesses have been detected in various videos showing the astronauts floating along as though they were in zero gravity. CGI glitches in floating objects demonstrate that Hollywood succeeds in its special effects by multiple takes and added CGI whereas astronauts on the ISS are live and screwups all too blatant and frankly, painful to watch.

In one video in particular, besides an astronaut floating by with an obvious harness protruding up from his back, another astronaut in the foreground is having a rough day, although he's unaware of it at the time. The CGI image that he sees in front of him through specially designed contact lenses is not present, the channel apparently down. He repeatedly picks up an object, and passes it over to the side where it evidently floats back for another pass.

In every video in which a female astronaut is performing tasks or being interviewed, long hair is floating upward as though reacting to zero gravity. It looks like a bizarre perm. Here is something to think about. Would any astronaut live for extended periods in the ISS with long, flowing hair? (See Figure 81)



Figure 81

Bear in mind that there is no running water on the ISS. The maintenance of long hair would present a logistical challenge to say the least. My opinion is that all astronauts, male or female, would go to the ISS with their hair shaved or at the very least cropped short for both convenience and safety. The ISS is supposed to be in outer space. It's not a glamping holiday. You want to be an astronaut; the long hair goes. Do you see any long hair in the U.S. military?

But, on the other hand, if you're in a "studio" environment where you go home at the end of the day, what difference does it make? The fake perm gets washed out and you're good to go until makeup time the next day.

"Yeah, but," you say, you can see the lights of the ISS in the night sky. **Yeah, but** all you see is a streaking light. You could be looking at anything, more specifically, for example, a NASA drone circling over the flat earth. There are no astronauts living in what you see. The astronauts are all safe and sound in the United States, probably California.

In the words of NASA astronaut Don Petitt, "I'd go to the moon in a nanosecond. The problem is we don't have the technology to do that anymore. We used to but we destroyed that technology and it's a painful process to build it back again." Is this for real? Let's get our head around this. NASA destroyed the technology necessary to get to the moon! And, yet we are expected to believe

that astronauts are floating around in the ISS like they're in a Disneyland carnival ride?

We are now told that in 2018 we can only go as far as "low earth orbit." Yet, we supposedly flew through the Van Allen Belts as early as December 1968 when Apollo 8 orbited the moon and returned back to earth with two astronauts safe and sound. We are told that we no longer have 1968 technology because NASA destroyed it. You would think that somebody at NASA could come up with a better story.

All of this fakery is in full support of the heliocentric model of our earth and the universe. And, of course, NASA's budget for 2018 is \$20.7 billion. We have learned already that the solid dome above us is impenetrable, precluding any space travel. Inside the dome we are free to fly around over the flat earth.

But, why practice and prepare in low earth orbit for further exploration of space when we know that reaching the moon or Mars is impossible? It is clearly an exercise in futility. NASA at the highest level, knows the true shape of the earth and its dome. Astronauts and all ancillary support are in the loop and sworn to secrecy. They are highly paid and no doubt well aware of what happened to Gus Grissom and fellow astronauts in 1967.

How long NASA and other space agencies supported by their governments will continue this subterfuge is unknown. It's been going on so long that the thinking is there's no turning back. It has become like a hamster on a wheel, ever spinning like the fake rotation of our flat earth.



[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/International\\_Space\\_Station](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/International_Space_Station)

<http://spaceflight101.com/iss/iss-reboost-and-mbsu-transfer/>

[https://www.nasa.gov/mission\\_pages/station/research/news/students\\_spheres\\_satellites](https://www.nasa.gov/mission_pages/station/research/news/students_spheres_satellites)

By NASA - <http://spaceflight.nasa.gov/gallery/images/shuttle/sts-88/html/sts088-355-015.html>, Public Domain, <https://commons.wikimedia.org/w/index.php?curid=5048539>

[https://www.nasa.gov/mission\\_pages/station/spacewalks](https://www.nasa.gov/mission_pages/station/spacewalks)

<http://couragez.com/nasa-astronauts-finally-complete-200th-spacewalk-at-iss-video/>

<http://coolcosmos.ipac.caltech.edu/ask/282-How-fast-does-the-Space-Station-travel->

[https://www.nasa.gov/mission\\_pages/station/expeditions/expedition26/iss\\_altitude.html](https://www.nasa.gov/mission_pages/station/expeditions/expedition26/iss_altitude.html)

<https://www.space.com/16748-international-space-station.html>

<https://aplanetruth.info/tag/iss/>

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=XuDpgGQpjjc> D. Marble <https://www.cnet.com/news/how-nasa-trains-astronauts-40-feet-underwater/>

<https://flatearthdisclosure.wordpress.com/fake-international-space-station-iss/>

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Rm16x0EzC4k>

<http://earthsky.org/human-world/how-to-spot-the-international-space-station>

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=H1tPOEBUAs8>

<https://www.space.com/17362-apollo-8.html>

<http://www.planetary.org/blogs/casey-dreier/2018/20180322-fy18-omnibus.html>

Why can't I win  
an Oscar?



I like scuba  
diving but this  
pool's the pits!



## CHAPTER 18

### WHY THE HELIOCENTRIC SUBTERFUGE?

In the previous chapter, you learned that the ISS is no more real than the moon landings in the late 60's and early 70's. NASA is utilizing sophisticated CGI learned from Hollywood to convince the public that we have astronauts in low earth orbit floating around in zero gravity and performing experiments both inside and outside of the ISS. Sometimes the live broadcasts result in colossal screwups that clearly show that the “astronauts” are performers in an incredible hoax not only on U.S. citizens, but the entire world.

From Copernicus in the 16<sup>th</sup> century to the 21<sup>st</sup> century in which we live today, the heliocentric model has thoroughly permeated our consciousness. We live and breathe the globe. You see it everywhere. Every time I watch a program on the History Channel, I see the globe whenever a new location is cited before the zoom-in to the intended spot in the landscape.

Make no mistake about it, the spinning ball earth comes with baggage:

- 1. Vast and unfathomable distances in the universe between planets and stars.**
- 2. The Big Bang theory of the birth of the universe from a mysterious singularity whose origin is unknown.**
- 3. The continuous motion of every object in the universe in which our planet and everything else is growing further apart.**
- 4. The mathematically determined lifespans of our sun and the stars, necessitating that eventually the universe will cease to exist.**
- 5. The concept that other planets and solar systems exist and that we are one of numerous others and not special unto ourselves.**
- 6. The construct that we can travel to the moon and planets and explore outer space, and that technologically advanced extraterrestrials have visited the earth in space ships that have traveled “light years” to reach us.**
- 7. The fear that an asteroid like the one that supposedly killed the dinosaurs could strike the earth and extinguish all life, as it theoretically has in the past.**
- 8. The theory that life arose on the earth from a murky cesspool of microbes that originated either on the earth or arrived from outer space, and over millions of years evolved into complex organisms, including mankind.**
- 9. The overall umbrella that the universe and ourselves originated in chaos and randomness without an intelligent creator, and that we are alone**

**completely and utterly, naked and afraid.**

Fear is the single most pervasive factor in controlling the populace. If a creator is taken out of the equation, there is no entity above those individuals in power to answer to. The government will protect you from climate change, international enemies, extraterrestrial invasions and unpredictable asteroids.

After fear is diversion. Man has gone to the moon and sent probes to the planets, most notably Mars. Astronomers have discovered other planets around other suns, predicting a universe of discovery for generations to come. You can become an astronaut and explore outer space.

You are born, your life has no purpose except that which you ascribe to it, and inevitably you die, as the ancient poet wrote, “sans wine, sans song, sans singer and sans end.” And, through it all permeates the secret bastions of power and influence who ultimately control what you eat, where you live, your education, your core beliefs, your goals and aspirations, what you watch on television and movies, the news outlets, and the so-called scientists and politicians.

You have become a robot operated by remote control. Freethinking, such as professing to be a flat earther, is frowned upon, laughed at, and ground into the turf with the muddy boot of conformity. You do not even realize that you are a product of fear and diversion. The heliocentric model is so entrenched in our consciousness and the foundation of our existence that it is too late to reverse course in the opinion of its perpetrators.

Few individuals stop to think about the absurdity of the heliocentric theory. I invite you to thoughtfully take a look at what you have been led to believe about this earth on which you live:

- 1. A mysterious entity called gravity glues you and everything else to the surface of the earth, while at the same time allowing birds and insects to fly and clouds holding tons of water to drift in the wind, demonstrating that this force is both strong and weak at the same time.**
- 2. The earth is spinning at about 1,000 miles per hour**

**at the equator, but you cannot feel a thing.**

- 3. Meanwhile the earth is revolving around the sun at the speed of 67,000 miles per hour.**
- 4. At the same time, the sun with all the planets of our solar system is traveling around the galaxy (Milky Way) at the speed of 448,000 miles per hour.**
- 5. And, of course, the Milky Way is rushing toward the nearest galaxy Andromeda at 252,000 miles per hour.**
- 6. The earth's atmosphere just gradually dissipates into the vacuum of space, but continues to adhere to the earth.**
- 7. The moon and the sun appear to be the same size, but the sun is just far enough away and just the right amount larger to create this illusion. This coincidence makes total eclipses possible. (The sun is 400 times the size of the moon and 400 times as far away from the earth.) Wow, this is the coincidence of all coincidences!**
- 8. The moon only shows one face to the earth because it happens to rotate at the same exact rate as its orbit around the earth, about 27.3 days. Another incredible coincidence!**
- 9. We see the same stars night after night, year after year, despite our position around the sun and around the galaxy and no one ever questions this phenomenon.**
- 10. Major asteroids have only impacted the earth in ancient times, and coincidentally, the Tunguska event in 1908 affected only the remote Siberian wilderness leaving no impact crater. What a**

**wonderful coincidence that this event did not impact a populated area!**

- 11. The International Space Station is orbiting the earth at over 17,000 miles per hour yet we are led to believe that astronauts are making repairs during spacewalks and feel none of this speed. Just think about that for a moment.**
- 12. Humans evolved from lesser animals over millions of years, yet we find prehistoric animals still living in their original form, such as the coelacanth fish, which supposedly evolved 400 million years ago. How many other supposedly extinct animals are still living? Why didn't the coelacanth evolve like everything else? (See Figure 82)**






Figure 82

Here is a list of just some of the animals we are familiar with who lived millions of years ago:

1. **Lamprey**
2. **Sturgeon**
3. **Giant salamander**
4. **Goblin shark**
5. **Horseshoe crab**
6. **Platypus**
7. **Nautilus**
8. **Pelicans**
9. **Crocodiles**
10. **Jellyfish**

Yes, the theory of evolution and the theory of our universe originating from a singularity are both related to the heliocentric theory. Both theories support the heliocentric model and its underlying foundation of chaos and randomness.

In 1960, a study commissioned by NASA and created by The Brookings Institution (a research center, popularly labeled a “think tank.”) determined that revealing the presence of extraterrestrials on the earth would quite possibly negatively affect the public, and that further research would be advisable. This Brookings Report has been cited on numerous occasions as the foundation for government coverup of extraterrestrial contact.

Just try to imagine the impact on the public if it were suddenly revealed that we live on a flat, stationary plane rather than a spinning ball, and that the public has been lied to for hundreds of years, especially heinous in modern times. The ramifications of such a revelation would spread out exponentially. Here are some of the questions the public would ask and demand explanations for:

- 1. What about the space program? Well, let's see: NASA's budget for fiscal year 2018 is over 20 billion dollars. That's a pretty good rate for CGI (Computer Generated Images) and Hollywood style productions.**
- 2. What about astronomy and all the observatories around the world? They're looking at layers of a hologram. The objects that create this hologram are imbedded in the firmament that encapsulates the flat earth. Imagine yourself in a planetarium where the sky is projected overhead and you view the constellations and other objects as though you were outside looking up at the sky.**
- 3. Does that mean we can't leave the earth and that we are stranded here? Frankly, I can't think of any other place I'd want to be.**
- 4. Who put us here and built the dome that covers the earth? That would be a major power for sure. It makes us unique and indicates that we have a purpose in being here on the flat plane. Could this lead to a religious uprising that could be detrimental to the secular powers that currently control the populace?**
- 5. How can we ever trust the governments of the world again? Perhaps this would lead to social unrest and political uprisings. The world would never be the same again.**

**6. What about scientists like Michio Kaku and Neil deGrasse Tyson? Why haven't they revealed the truth? There are two thoughts on this. Perhaps they don't know the truth. Or, perhaps they are an intricate part of the deception.**

As you can see, the conclusions of the Brookings Report are as apropos to the flat earth as it is to extraterrestrials. It is simply too late in the deception game to pull the plug on the heliocentric model. Too much has been invested by the oligarchic individuals and groups that control our beliefs and existence. I personally appreciate the few that are openminded enough and bold enough to stop and say, "Wait a minute! I want to know the truth, and I'm willing to do the research to find it."

It's ironic that the concept of a flat earth is a relatively new movement in our modern world inasmuch as it is an "old" idea. But, the numbers are growing. There is something uplifting in becoming a flat earther.

I encourage you to do more research and learn as much as you can. You may well discover that there is absolutely no evidence that proves the heliocentric model. Oh, wait, mathematical equations which for the most part have replaced observation and demonstrable experimentation!

Check out the appendices here in which I list my favorite You Tube flat earth video channels and a recommended reading list. These lists are not meant to be comprehensive, but merely a guide to learning more about our flat earth. It is a model that is evolving as more information comes to light. Bear in mind that the flat earth model is a work in progress.

<http://www.moonconnection.com/moon-same-side.phtml>

<http://earthsky.org/space/coincidence-that-sun-and-moon-seem-same-size>

<http://earthsky.org/space/coincidence-that-sun-and-moon-seem-same-size>

<https://www.cnet.com/news/russia-lake-cheko-study-tunguska-event-meteoritex-files/>

<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Coelacanth>

<https://twitter.com/survivetheark/status/827872726048202752>

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Brookings\\_Report](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Brookings_Report)

<http://coolcosmos.ipac.caltech.edu/ask/282-How-fast-does-the-Space-Station-travel->

<https://www.nasa.gov/press-release/nasa-acting-administrator-statement-on-fiscal-year-2018-budget-proposal-0>

<https://list25.com/25-prehistoric-animals-that-are-alive-today/>

Sims 4™ screenshot



## Appendix A

### Recommended You Tube Video Channels

1. marksargent

2. World History Official
3. jeranism
4. Dorje Daka
5. Feed Your Mind
6. FLAT EARTH BROTHERS
7. Rob Skiba
8. Celebrate Truth
9. Convex Earth
10. Dmurphy25
11. Eric Dubay
12. Flat Earth Addict
13. GLOBEBUSTERS
14. ODD Reality
15. Paul On The Plane
16. Steve Torrence
17. StinkyCASH
18. theTRUman
19. Vincent Rhodes
20. Zetetic Flat Earth
21. Justin Stellman

- 22. Jamie Brown
- 23. Dr. Zack
- 24. Astro Not
- 25. Ashlee Webster
- 26. Al Theeathoone
- 27. 7<sup>th</sup> Day Truth Seeker
- 28. D. Marble
- 29. Taboo Conspiracy
- 30. Flat Earth and Other Hot Potatoes

Please note that the above list is not meant to be comprehensive.



Hannah Bare Noir and her Kittens

## Appendix B

### Recommended Reading List

1. Edward Hendrie, The Greatest Lie on Earth, Proof that our World is Not a Spinning Globe
2. Eric Dubay, The Flat Earth Conspiracy
3. Mark Sargent, Flat Earth Clues, The Sky's The Limit
4. Nathan Roberts, The Doctrine of the Shape of the Earth
5. Wm. Carpenter, One Hundred Proofs that the Earth is Not a Globe

6. Richard C. Hoagland and Mike Bara, Dark Mission, The Secret History of NASA
7. Samuel Birley Rowbotham, Zetetic Astronomy: Earth Not a Globe
8. David Wardlaw Scott, Terra Firma: The Earth Not a Planet, Proved from Scripture, Reason, and Fact

Please note that the above list is not meant to be comprehensive.



I'm a Flat  
Earther.



Me, too.

## Appendix C

### Metric Conversion

#### Length

1 centimeter (cm)	=10 millimeters (mm)
1 inch	=2.54 centimeters (cm)
1 foot	=0.3048 meters (m)
1 foot	=12 inches
1 yard	=3 feet
1 meter (m)	=100 centimeters (cm)
1 meter (m)	? 3.280839895 feet
1 furlong	=660 feet
1 kilometer (km)	=1000 meters (m)
1 kilometer (km)	? 0.62137119 miles
1 mile	=5280 ft
1 mile	=1.609344 kilometers (km)
1 nautical mile	=1.852 kilometers (km)

#### Area

1 square foot	=144 square inches
1 square foot	=929.0304 square centimeters
1 square yard	=9 square feet
1 square meter	? 10.7639104 square feet
1 acre	=43,560 square feet
1 hectare	=10,000 square meters
1 hectare	? 2.4710538 acres
1 square kilometer	=100 hectares
1 square mile	? 2.58998811 square kilometers
1 square mile	=640 acres


## Speed

1 mile per hour (mph)	? 1.46666667 feet per second (fps)
1 mile per hour (mph)	=1.609344 kilometers per hour
1 knot	? 1.150779448 miles per hour
1 foot per second	? 0.68181818 miles per hour (mph)
1 kilometer per hour	? 0.62137119 miles per hour (mph)

## Weight

1 milligram (mg)	=0.001 grams (g)
1 gram (g)	=0.001 kilograms (kg)
1 gram (g)	? 0.035273962 ounces
1 ounce	=28.34952312 grams (g)
1 ounce	=0.0625 pounds
1 pound (lb)	=16 ounces
1 pound (lb)	=0.45359237 kilograms (kg)
1 kilogram (kg)	=1000 grams
1 kilogram (kg)	? 35.273962 ounces
1 kilogram (kg)	? 2.20462262 pounds (lb)
1 stone	=14 pounds
1 short ton	=2000 pounds
1 metric ton	=1000 kilograms (kg)

## Temperature



<https://www.quizzes.cc/metric-conversion-charts.php>

Sims 4™ Screenshot

How do you  
become a Flat  
Earther?



Research Flat  
Earth.

**About the Author**



**Elaine Chadwick Clanton grew up on the coast of North Carolina, and for many years has lived in South Florida in the Miami/Ft. Lauderdale area. For ten years her husband Jimmy and her bred Sphynx cats, and she is the proverbial cat lady. Besides writing and cats, Elaine loves to play computer games, especially Sims 4™. Elaine is a wife, mother, and grandmother. Her son J.R. and his wife Brianne and their three children (Sam, Isaac, and Julia) live in Denver, Colorado. In 2010 Elaine published a mystery novel, “Murder and Marcella,” set in Carteret County, North Carolina. Flat Earth for Dummies 101 is her first endeavor into a work of research. “It was a lot of fun to write.”**